

Spore, Adeline,  
Spore, Andrew J.  
McDaniel, William  
McMillen, Mahala, et al

v.

Waters, Louis K.  
Bell, William H.

### Ejectment

240 arpents in T42R6E U.S. Survey No. 2005  
and 40 acres in T42R6E U.S. Survey No. 2009;  
Damages \$100.00; Change of Venue to St. Louis  
County; Other Plaintiffs: John E Cowan, Eliza  
Wright, Mary Millsap, Catherine Martin, Elkin Craine,  
Charles Craine, John Craine, John Moore, Caroline  
A. Van Arsdale, Roswell Van Arsdale, Charles Grabb,  
Sarah J. Grabb, William Axley, Zachariah Vories,  
F.M. Vories, A.W. Vories, and William Vories;  
Change of venue to St. Louis County

Adaline Spore, Andrew J Spore  
William J McDaniel, Mahala  
McMillen, John E Cowan,  
Eliza Wright, Mary Milbap  
Catharine Martin, Elkin Crane  
Charles Crane, John Crane  
John Moore, Caroline<sup>A</sup> Van  
arsdale, Roswell Vanarsdale  
Charles Grubb, Sarah J Grubb  
William J A Sley, Zachariah  
Vories, F M Vories, A W  
Vories, and William Vories  
against

Lewis K Waters

William H Bell Defendants

Plaintiffs state that on the  
first day of November 1860, they were  
entitled to the possession of the following  
described premises situate in Jefferson  
County Missouri to wit two hund  
red and forty acres of land, equal to two  
hundred and four acres and sixteen  
hundredths of an acre, being United  
States Survey No 2005, in Township  
42 of Range 6 East also forty acres  
of land being the South East Corner of  
United States Survey No 2009 confir

med to William Smeal and adjoins the two hundred and forty acres of land afore said on the South and fronts on the Mississippi River on the East, in Township 42 of Range 6 East.

and being so entitled to the possession thereof, that the defendants afterwards on the 2<sup>d</sup> day of November 1860 entered into such premises and unlawfully withheld from the plaintiffs the possession thereof to the damage of plaintiffs of one hundred dollars.

Plaintiffs are not able to state what is the monthly value of the rents and profits of the premises.

Plaintiffs further state that said first described tract of land was confirmed to <sup>David</sup> ~~David~~ ~~George~~ under Francis Lebourg and the second described tract of land was confirmed to William Smeal and that David Wise owned said tracts of land at his death some years ago.

Plaintiffs further state that they are the heirs and legal representatives of David Wise from whom said lands descended to his said heirs, that Mahala McMillen

~~McMillan~~, Eliza Wright, Mary Millsap  
and Catharine Martin are daughters  
of David Wise and the other plaintiffs  
are descendants & legal representatives of  
David Wise

Plaintiffs demand judgment for  
the recovery of the premises and for  
one hundred dollars damages for the  
unlawful withholding of the same from  
the plaintiffs and for monthly rents  
and profits from rendition of judg-  
ment until the delivery of the pos-  
session of the premises and for  
other proper relief

J At Real Atty  
for plaintiffs

J At Real Atty for plaintiffs  
makes oath & says that the foregoing  
petition & the matters therein  
stated he believes to be true  
J At Real

Sworn to and Subscribed  
before me. Nov. 23<sup>rd</sup> 1860  
Elias J. Hooney clerk }

Adeline Shore at

to

J. H. Watson &  
J. H. Peck

Ordered Jan 23 1860

Geo J. Honey  
Clerk

|                 |      |
|-----------------|------|
| 3 copies per l. | 1.50 |
| 3 copies        | 1.50 |
| 2 mts           | 2.00 |
| 2 copies        | 1.00 |
| copy            | .25  |
| book            | .25  |
| paid            | 1.00 |

Idaline Spore, Andrew J Spore  
William J. McDaniel Mahala  
McMillan, John E Cowan, Eliza  
Wright, Mary Miltrap Catharine  
Martin, Elmer Crane, Charles Crane  
John Crane, John Moore, Caroline A.  
Van Arsdale, Roswell Van Arsdale  
Chas Grubb, Sarah J. Grubb, William  
Jarley, Zacharia Voories & McVories  
Alv Voories and William Voories

against  
Louis H Waters  
William H Bell. Defendants.

Plaintiffs state that  
on the first day of November 1860, they were entitled  
to the possession of the following described premises  
situate in Jefferson County Missouri to wit, two  
hundred and forty acres of land equal to two  
hundred and four acres and sixteen hundredths  
of an acre, being United States Survey No 2005, in  
Township 42 of range 6 East, also forty acres of  
land being the South East corner of United States  
Survey No 2009, confirmed to William Smirland  
adjoins the two hundred and forty acres of  
land aforesaid on the South, and fronts on the  
Mississippi River on the east, in Township 42 of  
range 6 East, and being so entitled to the possession  
thereof, that the defendants afterwards on the 2nd

day of November 1860 entered into such premises and unlawfully withheld from the plaintiffs the possession thereof to the damage of plaintiffs of One hundred dollars. Plaintiffs are unable to state what the monthly value of the rents and profits of the premises

Plaintiffs further state that said first described tract of land was confirmed to David Wire under Francis La Barge and the second described tract of land was confirmed to William Smith, and that David Wire owned said tracts of land at his death some years ago. Plaintiffs further state that they are the heirs and legal representatives of David Wire from whom said lands descended to his said heirs that Mahala McMiller, Eliza Wright, Mary Millsap and Catharine Martin are daughters of David Wire, and the other plaintiffs are descendants legal representatives of David Wire

Plaintiffs demand judgment for the recovery of the premises and for one hundred dollars damages for the unlawful withholding of the same from the plaintiffs and for monthly rents and profits from rendition of judgment, until the delivery of the possession of the premises and for other proper relief

J. A. Beal Atty for Plffs

J. A. Beal Atty for plaintiffs makes oath  
that the foregoing petition and the  
matter as therein stated he believes to be true

J. A. Beal  
Sworn to and Subscribed before  
me Nov. 23<sup>rd</sup> 1860  
Elias J. Honey clerk }

A true copy of the original  
petition and affidavit on file in my office  
In Testimony whereof I here to  
set my hand and affix the seal  
of said Court, at office in Hills-  
boro this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of November,  
AD 1860

Elias J. Honey clerk

The State of Missouri, } ss.  
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

To the Sheriff of the County of Jefferson--Greeting:

We command you, that you summon *Lewis B Waters*

if he be found in your County, that he  
be and appear before the Hon. Judge of our Circuit Court, on the  
first day of the next term thereof, to be begun and held at the town of  
Hillsboro, within and for the County of Jefferson, on the second  
Monday after the fourth Monday of November  
next, then and there to answer unto *Adalene Spore Andrew J  
Spore. William J McDaniel Mahala McMiller  
and others*

upon ~~their~~ original petition, a copy whereof is hereunto annexed and  
accompanies this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, **ELIAS F. HONEY**, Clerk of our said Circuit  
Court, with the seal thereof hereto affixed, at office  
in Hillsboro, this *Twenty third*  
day of *November* A. D. 18*60*

*Elias F Honey*

Clerk Circuit Court.

No 53.

Jefferson Circuit Court

December Term 1860

Adeline Spore et al.

vs. } Summons

Lewis B Waters et al.

Sheriff Fees \$1.00

I Executed the within writ in the County  
of Jefferson State of Missouri on the 28<sup>th</sup>  
day of November 1860 By Leaving a  
copy of the within writ and a next  
partition as furnished to me by  
the clerk with a free white  
member of Lewis B Waters family  
over the age of fifteen years  
old

Oscar Dyer Sheriff  
G. H. Pauer Depty

The State of Missouri, } ss.  
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

*Saint Louis*  
Go the Sheriff of the County of ~~Jefferson~~ *Jefferson*--Greeting:

We command you, that you summon *William H. Bell*

if he be found in your County, that he  
be and appear before the Hon. Judge of our Circuit Court, on the  
first day of the next term thereof, to be begun and held at the town of  
*Hillsboro*, within and for the County of *Jefferson*, on the second  
Monday after the fourth Monday of *November*  
next, then and there to answer unto *Adaline Spore, Andrew*  
*J. Spore, Mrs. Daniel Mahala McMillen*  
*and others*

upon ~~the~~ original petition, a copy whereof is herunto annexed and  
accompanies this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, **ELIAS F. HONEY**, Clerk of our said Circuit  
Court, with the seal thereof hereto affixed, at office  
in *Hillsboro*, this *Twenty third*  
day of *November* A. D. 1860

*Elias F. Honey*

Clerk Circuit Court.

No 53.

Jefferson Circuit Court

Decer. Term 1860

Adeline Shore et al

v. Summons.

William H. Bell et al

Exhibit

Executed this writ in the County of St Louis  
on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of November 1860 by deliv-  
ering a copy of the writ and petition as  
furnished by the Clerk to the within  
named defendant. Wm. H. Bell,

John H. Andrews Sheriff  
Fee \$1.00 paid for Thos. Adams Deputy

Adeline Spore, Andrew  
J. Spore and others -

vs

William H. Bell and  
Lewis W. Waters -

In the Jefferson County  
Circuit Court -  
December Term 1860.

Now at this day  
comes the said Defen-

dants & move this Court for an order of this Court  
requiring J. A. Bral Esq. the Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
in the above entitled action (being No 33 of the  
present Term of this Court) to produce & show  
his authority from the said Plaintiffs to pros-  
ecute this action against the Defendants,  
or for the property for which a recovery is sought  
in this action, in favor of said Plaintiffs and  
against said Defendants for the following reasons,  
and upon the following grounds. Viz:

1<sup>st</sup> - Because the Defendants are informed  
& truly believe that said Bral has no authori-  
ty from said Plaintiffs to commence said  
action in the names of said Plaintiffs -

2<sup>nd</sup> - Because the said Bral was not and  
is not authorized by some and all of the said  
Plaintiffs to institute or prosecute said action  
in their names against the Defendants, or for  
the possession of the property in question -

3<sup>rd</sup> - Because the action, being one of Ejectment,  
several of said Plaintiffs have no title or in-  
terest in the premises in question & had not, at  
the time of the commencement thereof -

Which motion will be founded upon  
the papers on file in this action, and upon  
the annexed affidavit, and upon such proof  
as may be produced on the hearing hereof -

C. O. Coleman,  
Atty for Defs.

Adeline Spore, Andrew  
D. Spore & others -

vs  
William H. Ball and  
Lewis H. Waters -

In the Jefferson  
County Circuit Court  
December Term 1860.

Jefferson County, Mo:

Charles D. Coleman

being duly sworn deposes & says, that he is the attor-  
ney of the Defendants in the above entitled  
action, and that the reasons stated & set  
forth in the within motion are true, ac-  
cording to the best knowledge, information  
& belief of this affiant, and that such knowl-  
edge and information has been obtained  
and derived from one of the Plaintiffs in,  
said action, and which affiant believes  
to be true; that affiant has reason to believe  
and does truly believe that said action is  
prosecuted for the mere purpose of vexation, and  
in the hope of forcing the Defendant Ball  
to compromise with some of the Plaintiffs,  
& to prevent said Ball from selling or disposing  
of the property in question, & with a view of bring-  
ing his title into dispute, & not with any  
expectation or belief of recovering thereon and  
further deponent saith not -

Subscribed & Sworn to before  
me this 14<sup>th</sup> day of Dec<sup>r</sup> 1860 -  
Elias J. Honey clerk

C. D. Coleman

1853

Stephen Vincent Court  
Deerfield Nov 1860

Abeline Moore the  
by

Wm H Ball and  
Motion to require the  
Atty to produce authority to  
commence action &c: and  
reasons sufficient &c.

Le. J. Coleman  
of the Atty -

Filed Decr 14th 1860  
Elihu D. Horney Clerk  
San Fancisco

Addine Spore et al  
Plaintiffs

vs

William H. Ball and  
Lewis W. Waters -

Defendants -

No 53 Decr. Term Sec-  
du Jefferson County  
Circuit Court -

And now come  
the Defendants and  
move the Court for an

order requiring the Plaintiffs in this action  
to file security for the costs in this action,  
for the following among other reasons, viz:

1<sup>st</sup> - Because there is no responsible  
person in this State, who is a party Plain-  
tiff in the action -

2<sup>nd</sup> - Because there is no person who is  
a party Plaintiff in the action and a resident  
of this State, who is responsible for the costs  
in this action from whom the same  
can be collected -

Which Motion will  
be founded upon such evidence as shall  
be produced upon the hearing of said Motion -

Respectfully  
Signed Atty -

1033-

Jefferson Circuit Court

Decr Term 1860-

Helvie Speer

vs -

vs

William H Ball

vs -

Motion for Security  
for costs -

Geo. Henderson  
Capt. atty

Filed Decr 14th 1860

Chas J Hooley  
Clerk

Sustained

Adaline Spore, Andrew  
J. Spore, William Lewis, et al  
vs

William, H. Ball, and  
Lewis W. Waters.

In the Circuit Court  
of Jefferson County, Missouri  
November Term 1860.

The Defendants William,  
H. Ball and Lewis W. Waters,

for their joint and several answer in the above enti-  
tled action, specifically controvert and deny, that  
on the first day of November 1860, or at any other time,  
the Plaintiffs, or any of them, were entitled to the possession  
of the premises described in the Petition of the said  
Plaintiffs, or any part or parcel thereof, as therein alleg-  
ed and described, or in any other manner whatever,  
either upon or of Survey N<sup>o</sup> 2005, or upon or of Survey  
N<sup>o</sup> 2009, in Township N<sup>o</sup> 42 Range 6 East; And  
also specifically controvert and deny, that the  
Plaintiffs, or any of them, being so entitled to the  
possession of said premises or any part thereof,  
that the Defendants or either of them, afterwards,  
and on the 2<sup>d</sup> day of November 1860, or at any other  
time, entered into such premises, or any part thereof,  
and unlawfully withheld from the Plaintiffs, or any  
or either of them, the possession thereof, or of any part  
or parcel thereof, to the damage of the Plaintiffs of  
one hundred dollars, or any other sum of money.

These Defendants further answering, deny, that  
David Wise owned said tracts of land, at the  
time of his death, but on the contrary thereof,  
allege and state, that the title of the said David

Use, in and to said facts, either in whole or in part, had passed out of the said David Use, prior to his death, and had passed into the possession and control of the persons through, whom the Defendant William H. Ball claims said premises -

These Defendants further answering, state, that they have not any knowledge or information thereof sufficient to form a belief, as to whether the Plaintiffs are the heirs and legal representatives of the said David Use, but they specifically controvert and deny that said Lands, or any part thereof descended to the said Plaintiffs, or any of them, as the heirs of the said David Use, except in so far as the title of the said Plaintiffs has been conveyed by them to become vested in the said Defendant William H. Ball by the means of conveyances to the said Defendant -

That these Defendants have not any knowledge or information thereof sufficient to form a belief, whether <sup>all of</sup> the said <sup>Plaintiffs</sup> are, or were at the time of the commencement of this action, living, and they allege & state, that all of said Plaintiffs, at the time of the commencement of this action <sup>were not</sup> (living,) and that some of them were then, and others have since deceased; and they have no knowledge or information <sup>thereof</sup> sufficient to form a belief, as to whether the Plaintiffs are the descendants legal representatives of David Use; and deny that the Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment for the recovery of the possession of said premises & for one hundred dollars damages, or for interest, or

any part thereof, or of either; and specifically con-  
tend and deny, that the said Plaintiffs or either  
or any of them, have any right, title, interest, claim  
or demand, of in or to said premises or any part  
thereof, or to any subsequent thereof or any part  
thereof, or for damages, or otherwise -

These Defendants, for a further answer in this  
behalf, state & show unto the Court, that at the  
time of the Commencement of this suit, the  
said Defendant William H. Hall, was and still  
is, the sole and exclusive owner, and was solely  
and exclusively entitled to the possession of said  
premises and of each & every part thereof, and  
then was & still is in the sole and exclusive pos-  
session of said premises ~~and~~ of each and every  
part thereof, and that the said Defendant and  
those through whom he claims, have had and  
held such sole and exclusive possession, for  
the period of <sup>about</sup> twenty <sup>consecutive</sup> years, immediately prior  
to the commencement of this action, and  
that neither the said Plaintiffs, or any of them  
or their ancestors or predecessors, or any of them,  
have owned, held or possessed, said premises or  
<sup>any</sup> part or parcel thereof, in their, his, her, or any of  
their his, or her own right for the period of <sup>about</sup> twenty  
years prior to the commencement of this action,  
and the said Defendant William H. Hall hereby  
sets up and insists upon the Statute of Limitations

of the State of Missouri, as a protection to his title and possession, and a bar to any action on the part of the Plaintiffs or any of them or any other person or persons for the recovery of the possession of said premises or any part thereof or damages—

These defendants further answering, state and show, unto the Court, that at the time of the commencement of this action, the said Plaintiffs nor either of them had any right, title interest claim or demand to the said premises or any part thereof, in any manner whatever.

These defendants further answering, state & show unto the Court, that at the time of the commencement of this action, prior thereto, the said Plaintiffs had conveyed <sup>away and</sup> all any claim, right, title, interest or demand which they or either of them pretended to have in and to said premises & every part thereof, to some third person, or to one of said Plaintiffs alone, and such conveyances were, at the time of the commencement of this action as aforesaid, outstanding & operative, and the said defendant William H. Bell sets up such facts, as a defence in this action, and as a bar to any action on the behalf of the Plaintiffs, or of those so executing such conveyances—

These defendants for a further answer state & show unto the Court, that long prior to the commencement of this action, the said Defendant William H. Bell

had acquired, & then held a perfect & absolute and  
indefeasible estate of inheritance in the whole and  
every part of said premises, and that he & those under  
whom he claims have held owned & possessed the said  
premises, exclusively, for more than ten years  
last past, & for ~~more than~~ <sup>about</sup> twenty years last past,  
prior to the commencement of this action;  
that the Defendant <sup>James H. Notes</sup> was the agent & employee of  
the said William H. Bell in the care & charge of  
said premises, and had no other interest, control  
or possession of said premises or any part thereof,  
other than as the such agent & employee, and  
never possessed or occupied said premises or  
any part thereof.

And the Defendants further answering state that  
unto the Court, that another action is pending in  
this Court, wherein the said Plaintiffs are the  
petitioners & Plaintiffs and the said William H. Bell  
is Defendant, commenced prior to the commence-  
ment of this action, & returnable to the October  
Term of this Court 1858, for the Partition and  
division of said premises between the said  
Plaintiffs & said William H. Bell, which said  
former action & the proceedings therein, the said  
Defendant William H. Bell hereby sets up as  
a bar to the further prosecution of this action  
and to any recovery in this action, & makes the  
Record & proceedings in such former action a part  
of this his answer, as if set forth herein particularly.

17

November Term 1860

Jefferson County Circuit Court

Adeline Spore et al

vs

William H. Bell et al

Answer of Deft -

W. H. Bell

Deft.

Filed April 4th 1861

Elias H. Conley  
Clerk

And the said defendants having fully answered, pray to be hence dismissed with their costs & charges in this behalf expended -

W. H. Bell

Deft.

Jefferson County for William H. Bell being sworn, says he is one of the defendants, & affirms this answer for both, and that he believes said answer & the matters therein as stated to be true & further saith not -

Subscribed & sworn to before me this 1st day of April 1861 -

Norman Coleman  
Notary Public Jefferson Co., Mo.

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

THE STATE OF MISSOURI,

To

*James Purgep Dr. James Schuster*

GREETING :

You are **Hereby Commanded**, That setting aside all manner of excuse and delay, you appear before our Circuit Court for the County aforesaid, on the *15th day of January 1863* at Hillsboro, then and there to testify, and the truth to say in a certain matter of controversy, now pending in our said Court, wherein *Adeline Sporel et al* are Plaintiff and *William H. Bell et al* are Defendant on the part of *Plaintiffs* and herein you are in no wise to fail.

WITNESS, ELIAS F. HONEY, Clerk of our said Court, with the Seal thereof hereto affixed, at office, this

*9th* day of *January* A.D. 186 *3*

*Elias F. Honey*

Clerk.

TO JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

January Term, 1863

Adaline Spore et als  
vs  
Wm H Bell et als

for Peff  
James Barges Jr.  
James Johnston

Sheriff 100

I Executed the within writ on J. J  
Johnston by reading the same in  
his presence and hearing this 12 day of  
January 1863

D. B. Davenport  
by J. J. Hays Deputy

Kealine Spore Tal  
vs  
William H Bell  
Lewis R Waters

In the Circuit Court  
of Jefferson County Mo

James A Beal, answering to the  
rule made at the Term 1860 to show  
authority for bringing suit, states that he as attor-  
ney brought the suit for the plaintiffs, in conse-  
quence of instructions received by him from  
John E Cowan as will more fully appear  
by his letter herewith filed as a part of  
this answer to said rule marked "A".

He further answers, and states, that John  
E Cowan is one of the plaintiffs and had a right  
to authorize said Beal to institute suit.

He further states that John E Cowan was  
acting as agent for the other plaintiffs, as  
said Beal is informed, by virtue of instruct-  
ions, letters and other documents from them  
to the effect that he was authorized to sue  
for them & himself for the land in controversy  
which letters, contracts and documents said  
Beal here submits to the Court for inspection  
as authority of Cowan to employ him as  
attor to prosecute suit. Said Beal denies that  
in bringing suit, he did it for the purpose of extor-  
ting money out of W H Bell but in good faith  
as he was directed by Mr Cowan for himself  
& other plaintiffs.

J A Beal

Subscribed & sworn

to before me this 11th day of January 1861

Chas J. Morrey

Spore  
Compuetal

No

17th Dec 1863

---

Answer of 19th Dec 1863  
of Court

Adel Aug 12 1863  
C. J. Honey Ck

|                              |   |                                                         |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Adaline Spore and others     | } | In the Circuit Court<br>of Jefferson County<br>Missouri |
| against                      |   |                                                         |
| Lewis R Waters &<br>W H Bell |   |                                                         |

The plaintiffs ask  
the Court to amend the petition by striking  
out the names Adaline Spore Andrew J Spore  
William J McDaniel, Eliza Wright, Mary Millsap  
John Moore, Caroline A Vanarsdale, Ros  
well Vanarsdale, F Vories, A W Vories  
and William Vories and pray the Court to  
admit Sarah A W Cowan as a plaintiff  
They state that Sarah A W Cowan has acqui-  
red an interest in the land in controversy  
from Samuel Simmons and he acquired an  
an interest in the land in controversy <sup>before</sup> ~~since~~  
the commencement of this suit, from one  
of the owners of the land

J H Seal Atty  
for plaintiff

Coaline Apurcal

At

W H DeLSD & H M Davis

Provision to answer petition  
by striking out part of  
plaintiff's account

Filed Jan'y 14<sup>th</sup> 1863

Wm J. Hooley  
Clerk

Protons sustained  
Jan'y 16<sup>th</sup> 1863. book  
page 4 page 497

John E. Crane  
Sarah A. Crane  
John Crane  
Charles Crane  
Elkin Crane, Mary Ann Shultz and Albert Shultz  
Catherine Martin, Isaaciah Davis  
William J. Apley, Charles Grubb and  
Sarah A. Grubb Plaintiffs  
Against  
William H. Bell & Lewis E. Waters  
Defendants  
In the Circuit Court of Jefferson  
County Missouri. Return filed  
-tion.

The Plaintiffs state that on  
the first day of November 1860,  
they were entitled to the possession  
of the following described premises  
situated in Jefferson County Missouri  
to wit. Two hundred and forty acres  
of land, equal to two hundred & four  
acres. Section hundredth of one acre  
being United States Survey No. 2005,  
in Township 42, Range 6 East, also  
forty acres of land being the North east  
corner of United States Survey No. 2009,  
conformed to Willcox Survey & adjoining  
the two hundred and forty acres of land  
aforesaid on the south, & fronts on the  
Mississippi River on the west bank  
in Township 42, Range 6 East. And  
being so entitled to the possession thereof.

that the Defendants afterwards on the  
second day of November 1860, entered into  
such premises and unlawfully with  
hold the same from the Plaintiffs the  
possession thereof to the damage of  
Plaintiffs of one hundred dollars.  
Plaintiffs demand judgment for the  
recovery of the premises and for one hundred  
dollars damages, and for rents and profits  
and other proper relief.

Davis Beal & Jones  
For Plaintiffs

John J. Conner states on oath that  
he believes the foregoing Declaration and  
Matters as therein stated to be true

Subscribed & sworn  
to before me this 14.  
day of January 1862  
Elias J. Honey Clerk

J. J. Conner

John E. Bowman

vs

Wm. A. Bae + J. H. Nelson

Amended Petition

Exemption

Made Jan'y 21<sup>st</sup> 1863

Oliver & Hooten

John E. Lowan et al  
vs  
William H. Bell &  
Lewis R. Waters } Ejectment  
in the circuit Court of  
Jefferson County Mo

The plaintiffs ask the Court  
to allow the introduction of two new pla  
intiffs in addition to Sarah A. Mowen  
to wit, Mary Ann Shultz and Albert  
Shultz  
J. A. Beal Atty  
for plaintiffs

J. L. Bensonchal

vs

Dr A. B. & S. H. Mason

Exhibit

Petition to introduce  
new plaintiffs viz  
Mary Anne Smith  
against Smith

Filed Jan 23<sup>rd</sup> 1863

C. J. Hooley

Clerk

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

THE STATE OF MISSOURI,

To *Wesley Williams Hordley*  
*James Johnston his Hoffsman*

GREETING:

You are *Hereby* Commanded, That setting aside all

manner of excuse and delay, you appear before our Circuit Court for the County aforesaid, on the  
*10th day of June 1863* at Hillsboro, then and there  
to testify, and the truth to say in a certain matter of controversy, now pending in our said  
Court, wherein *John E. Bonnach also are*  
Plaintiff and *Mrs. H. Bell et al* are Defendant on the  
part of *Plaintiffs* and herein you are in no wise to fail.

WITNESS, ELIAS F. HONEY, Clerk of our said Court,

with the Seal thereof hereto affixed, at office, this

*11th* day of *May* A. D. 1863

*Elias Honey*  
Clerk.

TO JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

June 3<sup>rd</sup> Decm, 1863

Mo E Cowan et al.

vs  
Wm Bell et al.

for Peff

Mahala McMillan

Martha Houstey

Gas Johnston

Mo Hoffmann

Sheriff Fees 2.00

I executed the within writ on Mahala McMillan by reading the same in her presence and hearing also on Martha Houstey by reading the same in her presence and hearing on the 20 day of May 1863 also on James Johnston by reading the same in his presence and hearing on the 20 day May 1863 also on John Hoffmann by leaving a copy at his usual place of abode with a reliable person over the age of 15 on the 28 day of May 1863

J. J. Mott Deputy

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

THE STATE OF MISSOURI,

To William Foster, pro Blake, vs Burgess  
Theodore Linnam, et al, Defendants

GREETING :

You are hereby Commanded, That setting aside all

manner of excuse and delay, you appear before our Circuit Court for the County aforesaid, on the  
11th day of June 1863 at Hillsboro, then and there  
to testify, and the truth to say in a certain matter of controversy, now pending in our said  
Court, wherein John E. Linnam is  
Plaintiff and Mrs. M. Bell et al are Defendant on the  
part of Plaintiffs and herein you are in no wise to fail.

WITNESS, ELIAS F. HONEY, Clerk of our said Court,

with the Seal thereof hereto affixed, at office, this

11th day of May A.D. 1863

Elias F. Honey  
Clerk.

TO JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

June Term, 1863

Geo E Corvan et als

vs  
Wm B Bell et als

for Pelfs

Wm Fritter

Geo Blake

James Burges

Thos. Kemm

Fred. Zeigler

Sheriff Fees 2.50

of secured the within section of the same in his present and deeding this the 25th day of May 1863 Also on James Zeigler by reading the same in his present and deeding this the 28th day of May 1863 Also Theodore Kemm by reading the in his present and deeding this the 28th day of May 1863 Also Fred Zeigler by deeding over the age of 15 years the same at two several places of abode with out the reading the the same in the 1863 Also on William Fritter by deeding this the 28th day of May 1863 Also on James Zeigler by deeding this the 28th day of May 1863

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

THE STATE OF MISSOURI,

To

*James H. Walters*

GREETING :

You are **Hereby Commanded**, That setting aside all

manner of excuse and delay, you appear before our Circuit Court for the County aforesaid, on the

*10th day of June 1863*

at Hillsboro, then and there

to testify, and the truth to say in a certain matter of controversy, now pending in our said Court, wherein *John C. Conners et al* is

Plaintiff and *William H. Bell et al*

Defendant on the

part of

and herein you are in no wise to fail.

WITNESS, ELIAS F. HONEY, Clerk of our said Court,

with the Seal thereof hereto affixed, at office, this

*19th* day of *May*

A.D. 1863

*Elias F. Honey*

Clerk.

TO JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

June Term, 1863

Mo E Cavan et als  
vs  
Mr H Bell et als

for debt  
L. H. Waters

Sheriff Fees 50<sup>cts</sup>

I executed the within writ on L H Waters by  
reading the same in his presence and hearing  
on the 28 day of May 1863

J B Jones Sheriff  
by J J Crofts Deputy

Jefferson Circuit Court  
Term April 1863.

To the Honorable the  
Judge of the Jefferson Circuit  
Court, very respectfully

your petitioner John Eppes  
Cowan of the County aforesaid,  
respectfully states, that he is plaintiff  
in a suit in which William H. Bell  
is defendant now pending in this  
the Circuit Court of Jefferson County  
for the recovery of lands in the petition  
in the said suit described, that since  
the institution of the said suit the present  
judge of the said Court has been elected  
to the office and is now holding Court  
in the said County of Jefferson for the  
first time; that your petitioner has  
reason to believe and does believe  
and so charges the fact to be that  
the judge of the said Circuit Court is  
so prejudiced in favor of the defen-  
dant in the said suit and against the  
plaintiff your petitioner, that he cannot  
obtain a fair trial in the said suit  
before the said judge of the said Court  
wherefore he prays, for a change of  
venue to be awarded in the said suit  
according to the provisions of the statute  
in such cases made or provided.

State of Missouri

Jefferson County, Dec.

This affiant John Eppes  
Cowan the petitioner in the above petition  
being first duly sworn upon his oath says



John Ephes Conan

William St Bell

Petition for change  
of name

Filed June 19th 1863

Charles J. Honey  
Clerk

Exchange of Dances awarded to Lewis  
County of Adams County - in Book No 4  
Page

Notland. There that { Patrick  
Wentwell — } given at this day over the Plaintiff &  
against the assets of James McMillan, Eliza Wright  
& Charles Crane ~~deceased~~. It is ordered that James &  
Johnas, Elphinstone, Johnas Elgin & Johnston, McMillan  
Sturges & John St. Sturges for husband and Henry  
Wright a minor be admitted as parties Plaintiff & as per-  
sents the interests of them as the Elgin Wright <sup>in the said party</sup> are  
It is further ordered that John Elphinstone be appointed  
Guardian ad litem for Henry Wright, & also for  
James & Eliza a party Plaintiff in the aforesaid petition.

See Bill to build result of Luch

|                             |                   |              |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Money 2 copies per 150      | 2 books Heals 100 | 250          |
| mt copy                     | <u>120</u>        | 120          |
| appart per                  | 10                |              |
| Docketts                    | 50                |              |
| 2 books                     | 30                |              |
| Order charged even          | 25                |              |
| aff. -                      | 10                | 145          |
| 4 Spas                      |                   | 100          |
| J. B. Dover sheriff         |                   | <u>6 15</u>  |
| Summons 12 orcts            |                   | 600          |
| att. fees Cuts paid by Plff |                   | 300          |
|                             |                   | <u>15.15</u> |

make fee Bill cert Seal 75

52  
56  
 581  
 86  
 021  
 201  
 251