

Jefferson County Circuit Court
Case Files - Civil
May 1874, No. 16

Box 32 Folder 39

Crocker, Cortez

vs.

Goza, Washington I.

Kennett, Fred B.

Boyce, W. S.

Debt, note

Debt \$400; plaintiff assignee
of Ruth Dixon; depositions from
Illinois

\$400.00 Three years after date we or
either of us promise to pay to Ruth Ogden
or order the sum of four hundred dollars
with ten per cent interest from February
25th 1870 until paid, for value received
interest payable annually in this note.
February 15 1869. Washington. J. Goad

Attest

Chas. H. Hurd

}
For J. B. Bennett

W. A. Dwyer



29
Have value received
I assign the within
date to (Crack
this September the
17th 1870
Ruth Dixon

John Factor, 12. 1871

Received the interest
on the within Notes
for sum given
Feb 15 1871

Cortez Crocker Plaintiff
Against
Washington I Goza
Ferd B. Kennett
Ed H. S. Boyce, Defendants

In the Circuit Court of
Jefferson County Missouri
May Term 1874

Plaintiff states, that the defendants by their promissory note, herewith filed and made a part of this petition, dated February 15th 1869, promised to pay to one Ruth Dixon or order, for value received the sum of Four Hundred Dollars. three years after the date thereof, to bear interest at the rate of two per cent. from February 15th 1870, until paid

Plaintiff further states that said Ruth Dixon, on the 17th day of December ^{for a valuable consideration} 1870, assigned, by indorsement, and delivered said note to this plaintiff

And further the plaintiff states that the said defendants, the makers of said note, paid on the 15th day of February 1871, to plaintiff the sum of Forty dollars ^{being} the interest on said note for one year, and that the said principal sum of Four Hundred dollars, together with the remainder of the interest ~~due~~ ^{accrued} on said note, is yet due plaintiff and for which he asks judgment

Thomas H. McMullin
with E. P. State Esq. Atty. for Plaintiff

Cortez Crocker
Plff

vs

W. I. Hoza

F. B. Kennell

and W. S. Boyce Defts

Jefferson Circuit Court

Clerk issues process

Thos H. Emmellin

Atty for Plaintiff

Filed March 21st 1874

W. S. Boyce

Clerk

Corley Crocker Plaintiff } In the Circuit Court
 Against } Jefferson County,
 Washington & Goza } Missouri
 Ferd B Keunett and } May Term 1874
 W S Boyce Defendants }

Plaintiff states that the defendants
 by their promissory note herewith filed and
 made a part of this petition, dated February
 15th 1869 promised to pay to one Ruth Dixon or
 order, for value received the sum of Four
 hundred dollars, three years after the date
 thereof, to bear interest at the rate of ten per
 cent from February 15th 1870 until paid

Plaintiff further states that said Ruth
 Dixon on the 17th day of December 1870 for a
 valuable consideration assigned by indorsement
 and delivered said note to this plaintiff

And further the plaintiff states that
 the said defendants the makers of said note
 paid, on the 15th day of February 1871 to plaintiff
 the sum of Forty dollars being the interest on
 said note for one year, and that the said
 principal sum of Four hundred dollars
 together with the remainder of the interest
 accrued on said note is yet due plaintiff
 and for which he asks judgment

Thomas H Mcmullen
 with E P Slate Esq
 Attys for Plaintiff

A true copy of the original petition.

Witness hand and seal of said court April 21 1874
 W S Boyce clerk
 By Chas H. Harris Deputy

The State of Missouri, } ss.
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

The State of Missouri,

TO THE SHERIFF OF THE COUNTY OF JEFFERSON---GREETING:

We command you, that you summon *Washington I Goza*
Jed. B. Kenney and W. S. Boyce

if ~~he~~^{they} be found in your County, that ~~they~~^{they} be and appear before the Honorable Judge of
our Circuit Court, on the first day of the next term thereof, to be begun and held at
the town of Hillsboro, within and for the County of Jefferson, on the *Second*
~~Monday after the fourth~~ Monday of *May* next, then and there to
answer unto

Cortez Crocker

upon *his* original petition, a copy whereof is hereunto annexed
and accompanies this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, W. S. BOYCE, Clerk of our said
Circuit Court, with the seal thereof hereunto
affixed, at office in Hillsboro this *21st*
day of *March* A. D. 18*74*

W. S. Boyce Clerk Circuit Court.

W. The. Horine Deputy.

I. Executed the within writ at the county of Jefferson
Mo. on the ~~without~~ named Defendant F. B. Kennett
by Delivering him a true copy of the writ and Petition as
purnished me, ^{on the 23 day of April 1874} by the Clerk and further on
W. S. Boyce by Delivering him a copy
of the writ on the 23. day of April 1874
and W. S. Goza not found in my county
this the 23 day of April. 1874

J. B. Mof. Sheff

163870

RETURNABLE

TO

JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

May

TERM, 1874.

Cortez Crocker

vs
Washington I Goza

Ferd. B. Kennett

W. S. Boyce.

(original)

Sheff per 250

The State of Missouri, } ss.
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

The State of Missouri,

TO THE SHERIFF OF THE COUNTY OF *Washington* ~~JEFFERSON~~---GREETING:

We command you, that you summon

Geo. R. Kennett

if he be found in your County, that he be and appear before the Honorable Judge of our Circuit Court, on the first day of the next term thereof, to be begun and held at the town of Hillsboro, within and for the County of Jefferson, on the *second* ~~Monday after the fourth~~ Monday of *May* next, then and there to answer unto

Cortez Crocker

upon *his* original petition, a copy whereof is hereunto annexed and accompanies this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, W. S. BOYCE, Clerk of our said Circuit Court, with the seal thereof hereunto affixed, at office in Hillsboro this *21st*

day of *April*

A. D. 18*84*

W. S. Boyce

Clerk Circuit Court.

By

C. H. Alvord

Deputy.

16

RETURNABLE

TO

JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

May TERM, 1874.

Carly Crocker

vs

J. B. Bennett

Original

Served the within Petition and summons on the within named Ferd B Bennett by leaving a certified copy of the same at his usual place of business with a white person above the age of sixteen years April 28th 1874. in Washington D.C.

Fee \$1.00 paid

John M. Anthony Sheriff
for H. Wallace Deputy

The State of Missouri, } ss.
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

The State of Missouri,

TO THE SHERIFF OF THE COUNTY OF JEFFERSON---GREETING:

We command you, that you summon *Washington I Goza*
Ter R Kenneth and W. S. Boyce

if ~~he~~ be found in your County, that ~~he~~ be and appear before the Honorable Judge of
our Circuit Court, on the first day of the next term thereof, to be begun and held at
the town of Hillsboro, within and for the County of Jefferson, on the *second*
~~Monday after the fourth~~ Monday of *May* next, then and there to
answer unto

Ortez Crocker

upon *this* original petition, a copy whereof is hereunto annexed
and accompanies this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, W. S. BOYCE, Clerk of our said

Circuit Court, with the seal thereof hereunto
affixed, at office in Hillsboro this *21st*
day of *March* A. D. 18*74*

W. S. Boyce Clerk Circuit Court.

By *C. P. Harine* Deputy.

RETURNABLE

TO

JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

May TERM, 1874.

brocker

vs

Goga

Kenneth

Boyce

224, 226, 227, 243, 246, 247, 262, 266, 273, 282,
283, 307.

copy

Auto drawn 146 308

Cortez Crocker Plaintiff } In the Circuit
against } Court of Jefferson
Washington Goza Defendant } County Missouri
May Term A.D. 1874

Now at this day come the Defendants
in the above cause and move the
Court to dismiss the above entitled
cause for the following reasons to wit:

1st Because the Plaintiff is a non resident
of the State of Missouri and has not
filed a bond for costs as required
by the statute

John L. Thomas & Bro
attys for Defendant

Cortez Crocker
vs

W. J. Gozan et. als.

Motion to Dismiss

Filed May 12 1874

W. S. Boyce CLK

Cortez Crocker Plaintiffs }
against } In the Circuit Court
H I Goza, Wm S Bayce } of Jefferson County Mo,
and Ferd B Kennett }
Defts

We the undersigned residents of said
county, undertake and bind ourselves to pay
all costs that have accrued or may accrue
in the above entitled cause

May 12th 1874

Thos H McMullen
W R Somell
H McMullen

Crocker

¹²

Goza. Boyce & Kenned

Security for costs

Filed May 12-98 ^{1/4}
W.S. Boyce Clerk

Cortez Crocker Plaintiff	} In The Circuit Court of Jefferson County Missouri A.D. 1874 May Term 1874
against	
Washington I. Goza	
Ferd B. Kennett and W. S. Boyce Defendants	

Now at this day comes
Ferd B. Kennett and W. S. Boyce ~~two~~
for the defendants in the above cause
and for answer to the petition
of Plaintiff in said cause, state
They deny that they executed the
note sued on in dated 15th Feb'y
A.D. 1869 for the sum of Four
hundred dollars ^{due} three years
after date and filed with
plaintiff petition. The said defend-
ants further answering state
that the note sued on purporting
to be signed by Washington I.
Goza and these defendants, has
~~been~~ changed, altered, and defaced
since the signing of the same with-
out the knowledge or consent of
the makers thereof in this, said
note when executed was in words
& figures as follows to wit:
\$400⁰⁰ Three years after date we or

either of us promise to pay to
Ruth Dixon or order the sum
of four hundred dollars with ten
per cent interest from February
15th 1870 until paid, for value received
February 15th 1869

Signed Washington J Goza

Ferd B. Kennett

W. S. Boyce

which said note has been frau-
dulently changed, altered, and
defaced ~~by parties unknown~~
~~to these defendants~~, without
the knowledge or consent of
these defendants to read as
follows to wit:

" \$400⁰⁰ Three years after date we or
" either of us promise to pay to
" Ruth Dixon or order the
" sum of four hundred dollars
" with ten per cent interest from
" February 15th 1870 until paid, for
" value received, interest on this
" note payable annually on this
" date

February 15th 1869 Washington J. Goza

Ferd B. Kennett

W. S. Boyce

Thereby causing said note to read interest payable annually thereby materially altering said note to compel Defendants to pay interest when such was not the contract thereby rendering said note void and of no effect.

Defendants Ferd B. Kennett and H. S. Boyce further ^{answering} state that they have no ^{knowledge or} information sufficient to form a belief that Ruth Dixon, on the 17th day of Dec., 1870 or at any other time assigned by indorsement, and delivered said note sued on to plaintiff.

Defendants Kennett & Boyce deny that plaintiff is entitled to judgment for the sum of Four hundred dollars & interest thereon or any other sum defendants having fully answered ask to be discharged with proper costs.

John L. Thomas & Bro
Atty for Kennett & Boyce

Cortez Crocker

W. S. Goza Esq.,
Drs

Separate answer of
F. B. Kenneth and
W. S. Boyce

Filed May 16 1874
W. S. Boyce
clerk

~~Answer to~~
 Cortez Crocker }
 St J Goza }
 J B Kennett & }
 W A Bayce }

Now comes plaintiff and
 moves to strike out from the answer
 filed herein by defendants Kennett and
 Bayce. a part thereof. Beginning ~~at~~
 the first of the sixth line and ending as
 follows "They deny that they executed the
 note sued on dated 15th Feb 1869 for
 the sum of four hundred dollars due three
 years after date and filed with plaintiffs
 petition. The said defendants further answering
 state that the note sued on purporting to
 be signed by Washington J Goza and
 these defendants has been changed altered
 and defaced since the signing of the
 same without the knowledge or consent
 of the makers thereof in this, said note
 when executed was in words &
 figures as follows to wit "400 Three years
 after date we or either of us promise to
 pay to Ruth Dixon or order the sum of
 four hundred dollars with ten per cent
 interest from February 15th 1870 until paid
 for value received February 15th 1869

signed

Washington I Goza. Feb 13
Jed B Kennett
W A Bayre

which said note has been fraudulently
changed altered and defaced without
the knowledge or consent of these defendants
to read as follows to wit

"\$400⁰⁰ Three years after date we or either
of us promise to pay to Ruth Dixon or
order the sum of four hundred dollars
with two percent interest from February
15th 1870 until paid for value received,
interest payable annually as this note
February 15th 1869 Washington I Goza
Jed B Kennett
W A Bayre

Thereby causing said note to read
interest payable annually. Thereby
materially altering said note to
compel defendant to pay interest when
such was not the contract, thereby
rendering said note void and of
no effect "

for the reason that ~~such~~ the truth of
such plea must be verified by
affidavit,

McMullin State &
Thleain

Atties for Plff

Crocker

to

Wm. A. Kennett &

Boyce

motion by Pett. D. Drake
ent part of apts answer

Filed May 16 55/74

Wm. A. Boyce

clerk

NOTICE TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS.

Cortez Crocker

Plaintiff

In the

Circuit

...Court for

AGAINST

*H. L. Goza
H. L. Boyce
& Geo. B. Kennett*

the County of

Jefferson

State of Missouri.

September Term 1874

Defendants

For John L. Thomas & Bro., attorneys of record
for the above named defendants. You are hereby notified, that depositions of witnesses to be

read in evidence in the above entitled cause, on the part of the *Plaintiff* will be taken at the
office of *Williams & Co.*, clerk of Circuit Court of *Monroe County, Illinois*
at the town of *Waterloo*
in the County of *Monroe*

Illinois, on the *20th* day of *August*

A. D. 1874, between the hours of eight o'clock in the forenoon and six o'clock in the afternoon of that day; and that the taking of said depositions, if not completed on that day, will be continued from day to day, at the same place, and between the same hours, till completed.

Service of the above notice is hereby acknowledged, and issue of auditors' and all exceptions as to time, waived.

Aug 7

1874

*Thomas H. Mcmullin and
Jos. J. Williams with
John L. Howard & Bro.
C. P. State Esq.*

*Attorneys for
above in case*

*Attorneys for
Cortez Crocker*

The depositions of Cortez Crocker and
Andrew J. Holcomb of Monroe County,
State of Illinois, Witnesses of lawful age,
produced and sworn and examined on
their respective oaths on the 20th day of
August 1874 in the Town of Waterloo,
County and State aforesaid, by me Mr.
Erd Clark of the Circuit Court of the
County of Monroe, State of Illinois, in
accordance with the Notice hereto attached
for the examination of the said Witnesses
in a certain suit and matter in Con-
troversy, now pending and undetermined
in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County
State of Missouri, wherein Cortez Crocker
is plaintiff and W. V. Goza, W. S.
Boyer and Fred. B. Kimmell defendants,
in behalf of said Plaintiff, the said
Witnesses, being first duly sworn by me
as Witnesses in said case, previous to the
commencement of their examination to
testify the truth in relation to the matter
in controversy between the said plaintiff
and defendants so far as they should
be interrogated, testified and deposed as
follows:

Cortez Crocker one of the above named Wit-
nesses produced and sworn as aforesaid states
my name is Cortez Crocker I am fifty six
years of age, a Farmer by occupation and
reside in Monroe County, State of Illinois

I bought two Notes with the Mortgage accom,
paying the same from Ruth Dixon, one
of said Note was for the sum of \$300.00
and one for the sum of \$400.00 payable
to Ruth Dixon and secured by Washington
V. Goza, Fred B. Kurnutt & W. S. Boyce
drawing interest at the rate of ten percent
per annum. The certified copy of the \$400.
note aforesaid and here shown to me, is
in my opinion a correct copy of the ^{original} \$400.
note I bought of Ruth Dixon. The in-
terpretation of the words "interest payable
annually on the note" in the \$400. note
was made before I ever saw the note
and was on at the time I bought it
I bought the Notes before they became
due and at the date of the assignment
thereon, paid a valuable Consideration
in money for them before maturity and
were taken in the usual course of trade
do not recollect the exact amount
I paid for them, these Notes were
assigned to me by Ruth Dixon and
she signed her Name to the endorsement
in my presence, they have not been
changed or alteration made after they
came in my possession.

Sworn to before me this Cortez Crocker
20th day of August
1874 W. D. Orr

Andrew S. Holcomb one of the notaries named
Notary, being duly sworn on oath says:
my name is Andrew S. Holcomb, I am
fifty years of age, a Farmer by occupation
and reside in Monroe County, State
of Illinois, Mrs. Ruth Dixon offered
to sell to me the two Notes mentioned by
Cory Crocker in his testimony, one was
a \$300.⁰⁰ and one a \$400.⁰⁰ Note
bearing interest at ten per cent per
annum I examined them but did not
buy them from her, Mrs. Dixon sold
these Notes to Cory Crocker and they
were assigned to him in my presence
I wrote the assignment dated Dec 17.
1870. I handed the money out to Mrs.
Dixon, think it was \$600.⁰⁰ Mr Crocker
paid for them, the \$400.⁰⁰ note was
bearing interest at the rate of ten per
cent per annum, payable annually,
I believe this certificate copy / a copy of the
\$400.^{note} certificate by the Clerk, was shown
to the Notary / is a correct copy of the
original \$400.⁰⁰ in question. I do not
know any thing about the introduction in
the \$400.⁰⁰ Note, it was on there
when I first saw this Note.

Sworn to before

me this 26th day of

August 1874

Wm. C. Eld

AP

A. S. Holcomb

Crocker
vs
Kennell, Gage & Boyce

Filed Sept. 14 1874
vs Gage & Boyce etc

State of Illinois / s/ Wm. Erd Clerk of
Monroe County } the Circuit Court within
and for the County of Monroe, State of
Illinois duly appointed to take the depo-
sitions of the said Corly Crocker and
Andrew J. Holcomb, witnesses whose names
are subscribed to the foregoing deposition
do hereby certify that previous to the ex-
amination of the said witnesses in the said
suit between said Corly Crocker plaintiff
and W. C. Gage et al defendants they were
duly sworn by me to testify the truth
in relation to the matters in controversy
between said parties so far as they should
be interrogated concerning the same, that the
same was taken in my office at the Court
house in Watrous, County & State aforesaid
on the 20 day of August 1874. that after
said depositions were taken by me as aforesaid,
said the same were read over to said witnesses
and signed and sworn to by the said
deponents before me at the place and at
the time aforesaid.

In Testimony whereof I
have hereunto set my hand
and seal this the 20th
day of August 1874.
Wm. Erd C. C.

Fee of Cms. Wm. Erd \$3.00
" " Map & J. Holcomb \$1.25

Cortez Crocker Plaintiff
 against
 W. S. Boyce and
 Ferd B. Hammett Defendants } In the Circuit Court
 of Jefferson
 County Mo.
 Sept. Term 1874

Now at this day comes the
 above named defendants and
 moves the Court to suppress
 the depositions filed in the
 above cause for the
 following reasons to wit:

1st

Because said depositions
 were not taken before an
 officer authorized by law
 to take and certify dep-
 ositions

2^d

Because the Certificate of
 the officer does not show
 that said depositions were
 taken at the place desig-
 nated in said notice -

3^d

Because said depositions
 were not certified to according
 to Law.

4th

Because the officer taking
 said depositions do not
 certify that they were signed

2nd

in his presence
Because said depositions
were not taken in
accordance with law

John L. Thomas & Bro.
attys for Defendants

Cortez Crocker
against

W. S. Boyce
Ex. als.

Motion to
suppress
Depositions

Filed Sept. 15 1884
W S Boyce
clerk

Carter Crocker Plaintiff }
 vs } In Jeff. Cir. Ct.
 H. I. Goza. J. B. Kennett } 26 Judicial St. Mo
 & W. S. Boyce Defts } Sept term 1874

Now comes plaintiff and
 replying to the answer of the defendants
 J. B. Kennett & W. S. Boyce,
 says, that it is not true as alleged by
 defendants in their answer that the note
 sued on purporting to be signed by
 H. I. Goza and there defendants has been
 changed, altered and defaced since the
 signing of the same, without the knowl-
 edge or consent of the makers thereof
~~in this~~ By an interlineation of the
 words "interest payable annually on this note"
 thereby materially altering said note so
 as to compel defendants to pay
 interest when such was not the
 contract, thereby rendering said note
 void and of no effect

and plaintiff denies every
 and each material allegation in the
 said answer of defendants, and
 avers that Defendants are liable to him
 in the full amount of said note, and
 for which with the interest thereon he asks
 judgment. McMullin, Williams & Tate
 Attys for Plaintiff

Conty Crocker

vs
Gaza Kenneth T Boyce

Repleadin

Filed Sept. 17 1874
W S Boyce
Cen

Carter Crocker Plaintiff } In the Circuit
 against } Court of Jefferson
 Herd B. Kemmitt & } Co. No Sept. Term
 W. S. Boyce Defendant } 1874

Now at this day comes W. S. Boyce
 one of the defendants in the above
 cause and ~~says~~ asks the court
 to continue the above cause for
 the want of Washington J. Goza
 a witness who is absent, that
 his testimony is material in the
 cause, that no other witness is in
 attendance, or within the reach of
~~this court~~ the process of this court,
 upon whose testimony the defend-
 ants can safely rely to prove the
 particular facts that the absent
 witness is expected to prove - that
 this affiant believes the defendants
 in the above cause cannot safely
 go to trial without the testimony
 of said Washington J. Goza - that he
 is not absent by the consent, con-
 vance or procurement of the above
 defendants and that ^{each witness is a} ~~they had a~~
~~non resident of the State of Missouri~~
~~subpoena issued for said witness~~
~~and that the~~ ^{and that} defendants made en-

inquiries for the place of residence
of the brothers of said witness
& that they did not find out his
place of residence in time to
have his deposition taken but
if said cause is continued until
the next term of this Court he
believes said witness can be
got or his deposition taken
so as to be used in the trial
of said cause W S Boyce

subscribed & sworn
to before me this 19th
Sept. 1874

W. H. H. Thomas
Notary Public for Jeff. Co. Mo.

Conty. Recorder

805

J. B. Kemmett Esq.

affidavit for

continuance

Filed Sept. 19th 1874

W S Boyce

CLW

Carter Crocker Plaintiff
against
Jord B Kennett & W A Boyce
Defendant

of Jefferson County
Sept Term 1874

Now comes said plaintiff by his attorneys and ~~now~~ objects to the sufficiency of the motion and affidavit for continuance, filed herein by the defendants for the following reasons in that

1st The motion does not show that the defendants have used due diligence to procure the testimony of the absent witness St I Goza

2d Washington & Soza might, for aught the said motion shows to the contrary, have been a resident of this County and state up to within five days before the first day of this term of court

3^d The application or motion for continuance is not supported by the affidavit of the defendants, or either of them or of any one for them, because no seal is affixed to the jurat of the pretended notary Public, such officers being known only by his official seal

H⁷⁵ The application does not state wherein the testimony of the absent witness is material in the trial of this cause

5th

If such motion had stated that the brothers of the witness, were likely to know his place of residence, and further state the exact date upon which the alleged inquiries were made, and the exact date upon which said brothers ascertained his place of residence, And the exact date upon which such brothers informed the defendants as to such place of residence then the defendants would have made out a case from which the Court could determine whether or not due diligence had been used by them.

6th

The allegations touching the endeavors of, and the means used by said defendants to procure the attendance or written testimony of the absent witness, ~~do~~^{do} not show any diligence, and are loose, indefinite and insufficient.

7th

The defendants have not had a subpoena issued for such witness and such subpoena placed in the hands of the sheriff, which they might to have done had he been a resident of this County within fifteen days prior to the first day of this term, and which he might have been, for aught said motion shows to the contrary.

Williams & McMillin

Attys for Plaintiffs

Locker

2

Hennett & Boyce

objections to the
motion filed by the
defendant for a
continuance

Filed Sept. 19 1874

M. S. Boyce
Clerk

SUBPOENA--CIRCUIT COURT.

STATE OF MISSOURI,

County of Jefferson, ss. THE STATE OF MISSOURI.

To

Arch. Dugan

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED, That, setting aside all manner of excuse and delay, you be and appear in proper person before the Judge of our Circuit Court, at the Court House, in *the Willaboro* of *the 13th* day of *January* A. D. 1873, then and there to testify and the truth to speak, in a certain matter within and for said County, on

of controversy now pending in our said court, wherein

and *Confey Crocker* is Plaintiff,
W. D. Goza et al are Defendants,
 on the part of said *plffs*; and this you shall in nowise omit.
 And the person or officer serving this Writ is commanded to have the same at the time and place aforesaid, certifying thereon his return.

Witness my hand and seal of our said Court. Done at office
 in *Willaboro*, in the County aforesaid, on this *26th*
 day of *December* A. D. 1874.

By

Clerk.

D. C.

SUBPOENA

No. *[redacted]*

Jeff Circuit Court.

January Term, 1875.

to Subpæna.

W. S. Goza et al

PLAINT. } WITNESSES.
Do.

Day of Trial *Jan 13 1875*

Ruth Dixon

Crystal City

Fee, \$ 1.50

*T. B. Webb of said
City will execute said*

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that I served the within Writ in the County of *Jefferson*
the *6th* day of *January* 1875, by *Reaching the house*
to Robert Dixon

RETURN.

W. S. Goza et al vs. Ruth Dixon

Cortez Crocker } Judgt. Jan 12 1875. Amt 10 70
 Goza, Boyce & Kennell } Fee Bill, Amt. \$ 556. ⁴³/₁₀₀

Boyce Clerk

May 1. 1874 Docket 3 copies 1⁷⁵ 3 cts. 1 writ 2¹⁰⁰ 4 copies 4⁴⁰ \$ 4.75
 " " " files 3³⁰ motion 2²⁰ rule 2²⁰ Bond for costs 5⁵⁰ 1.20
 leave to and 2²⁰ ent. file and 2²⁰ motion to strike and rule 2²⁰ 80
 dis. as to Goza 3³⁰ Sub 3³⁰ Sub. withdrawn 2²⁰ conf 2²⁰ 1.15
 Sept. 1. 1874 Docket 1¹⁵ sub. dep 2²⁰ motion to sub. dep. 2²⁰ rule 2²⁰ 75
 Rep 2²⁰ affd 2²⁰ ent. & filing 2²⁰ cont. 2²⁰ fees 2²⁰ 1.15
 Jan 1. 1875 Docket 1¹⁵ Sub. 3³⁰ Judgt 5⁵⁰ due 1¹⁵ 40 \$ 10.20

Jan 1 1875 C. Ho. Marine clk.
 Sub 3³⁰ Judgt. 5⁵⁰ docket two Judgt. 2²⁰ \$ 1.05
 F.B. 1¹⁰ Costs. 1¹⁰⁰ 1.10 \$ 2.15

Amt paid by plff. to Shff. Washington Co. 1.00

Amt paid by Boyce for Trans. \$ 12.50
 T B Map Shff. 2 writs. 2²⁰⁰ (narrat. 5⁵⁰ calls 2²⁰ \$ 2.75
 1 Spa 5⁵⁰ 50 \$ 3.25

Ruth Dixon 1 day 26 miles. 3.18

Amt of Judgt. 32.18
 556.63
 588.81