

Jefferson County
Case Files - Civil

Circuit

Court

Box

32

Folder 7

January 1874

Fulton, William H.
Fulton, Lavina C.

vs

Harvey, Joseph

Ejectment

352 acres in S21, 22, 24, 0 R5E;
\$1,000 damages; survey map

William H. Fulton
and Lavinia C. Fulton
his wife, Plaintiffs

vs
Joseph Harvey Defendant

In the Circuit Court of
Jefferson County Missouri Jan. 7. 1874

The Plaintiffs state that they
are husband and wife and
that since their marriage viz:
on the 8th day of February 1868, Paul
J. Powell conveyed to said Lavinia
C. Fulton the following described
real estate situated in Jefferson County
Missouri - To wit: The East half of
the North West qr. and the South
West qr. of the North East qr. and
the North East qr. of the South West
qr. of Section Twenty one in Township
Forty N of Range Five East Con-
taining one hundred and sixty acres.
Also the West part of the North half
of the South East qr. of said Sec-
tion Township and Range con-
taining seventy two acres. Also
the South half of the South East
qr. of said Section, Township and
Range containing eighty acres.
And also the South West qr.

of the South West q^r. of Section Twenty
Two, Township Forty, N. Range Five
Containing Forty acres, which con-
veyance was to her separate use and
benefit and for the separate use
and benefit of her heirs. And
Plaintiffs state that on the 8th day
of January 1865 they were ^{lawfully} entitled
to the possession of said land
and that being so entitled to
the possession thereof the Defendant
afterwards went on the 9th day
of January 1865 unlawfully
entered into said premises
and now unlawfully and
wrongfully withholds from
Plaintiffs the possession thereof
to the damage of the said Lucia
C. in the sum of one thousand
dollars. They own that the
value of the monthly rents and
profits of said premises is ten
dollars.

Wherefore they demand judg-
ment for the recovery of the
possession of said premises
and one thousand dollars
damages for the unlawfully
withholding of said premises
from Plaintiffs and for ten
dollars per month for the

rents and profits therefrom the
 rendition of judgment until
 the possession of said premises
 is delivered to Plaintiff.

John T. Howard & Bro.
 Atty for Plaintiff.

W²-H. Fulton et al

vs

Joseph Hanley

Ejectment.

0272

Filed Nov 14 '98/3
W. J. Bayce Clerk
D. C. Ho. Marine Dep

The State of Missouri, } SS.
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

The State of Missouri,

TO THE SHERIFF OF THE COUNTY OF JEFFERSON---GREETING:

We command you, that you summon

Joseph Harvey

if he be found in your County, that he be and appear before the Honorable Judge of our Circuit Court, on the first day of the next term thereof, to be begun and held at the town of Hillsboro, within and for the County of Jefferson, on the *Second* Monday after the fourth Monday of *January* next, then and there to answer unto

William S. Fulton & Lavinia C. Fulton his wife

upon *their* original petition, a copy whereof is hereunto annexed and accompanies this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, W. S. BOYCE, Clerk of our said

Circuit Court, with the seal thereof hereunto affixed, at office in Hillsboro, this *14th*

day of *November* A. D. 18*83*

W. S. Boyce Clerk Circuit Court.

By

W. S. Boyce

Deputy.

I executed the within summons at the County
of Jefferson State of Missouri on the within named
defendant Joseph Harney by delivering to him
a true copy of the original writ and petition
as furnished me by said clerk on the December
20th 1873

J. B. Moss Sheriff
By J. T. Williams Deft

no 11

RETURNABLE
TO
JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

January TERM, 1874.

W. M. Fulton et al.

vs
Joseph Harney

Original

Sheriff fees \$14.00
per Dec 20

W. H. Fulton & Lavina C. Fulton His wife	}	In the Circuit Court of Jefferson County Missouri
vs Joseph Harvey		

Now at this day comes the
Defendant and answering the petition
of plaintiffs admits that on the 8th
of February 1858 Reul J. Powell
conveyed to Lavina C. Fulton the
Real Estate described in the Petition
of plaintiffs, but the defendant denies
that said conveyance was to her
separate use and benefit and for the
separate use and benefit of her
Heirs as the same is alleged and
stated in the petition but Defendant
states the truth to be that said conveyance
by said Powell to said Lavina
C. Fulton was to her in fee
simple to be held used, ^{and} enjoyed
~~and conveyed~~ by her to her
sole and separate ~~use~~ and exclusive
use free from and discharged of
any control, interest or claim of
William H. Fulton her then or any
future Husband, and free from
any liability for any debt or debts of
her Husband, ^{that} said William H. Fulton

by the express terms of said deed from said Powell to said Lavinia C. Hutton was expressly excluded from all interest, claim, estate and property in or to said Land or any of it. The same being vested in her by said Deed solely and exclusively to her own separate use with full power to convey the same at her own will and pleasure either with or without the assent or consent of Her Husband,

And defendant denies that the plaintiffs were on the 8th day of January 1865, lawfully entitled to the possession of the real estate described in their petition or any part or parcel thereof.

And denies that ^{they} being so entitled to the possession thereof, he, this defendant, afterwards to wit, on the 9th day of January 1865, unlawfully entered into the possession of said premises, or that he unlawfully entered into such possession at any other time.

And denies that he now or at the time of the filing of the petition by plaintiff or at any other time, wrongfully withholds from the plaintiffs the possession of said premises, to the damage of said Lavinia C. Hutton in the sum of one

3
1
thousand dollars, or any other sum

And denies that the monthly rents and profits of the real estate described as before stated are of the value of ten dollars

Defendant avers that on the 29th day of October 1862 said Lavinia C. Fulton and Her Husband William H. Fulton executed and delivered to Defendant a deed by which they intended to convey all said Real Estate described in the petition of Plaintiffs to Defendant and by which they did convey the same to him except forty acres as herein after set out and particularly described to wit the north east quarter of the south west quarter of section Twenty one described in said petition, and Defendant avers that after he received said deed and paid for all said Land to wit on the first of November 1862 Plaintiffs put him into possession of all said Real Estate described in said petition as the owner thereof under said deed which was all the parties supposed to convey to Defendant all said Land, that he has ever since said date viz the first of November 1862 continued in the lawful, peaceable, quiet, undisturbed, adverse

and continuous.
 4th Clause, possession of all said,
 Real Estate as the same is described
 in the petition of plaintiffs, during
 all which time he has been in the
 actual occupancy of all said
 Lands, Holding, Claiming, occup-
 -ying and asserting title to the same
 by title and claim of title adverse &
 hostile to all persons whomsoever,
 wherefore Defendant avers that
 neither the plaintiffs, their ancestors,
 predecessors or grantors or other
 persons under whom they claim
 have been seized or possessed of said ^{Real Estate of any part of it}
 within ten years next before the bring-
 -ing of this suit and that by reason of
 the premises the action of plaintiffs
 is barred by the Statute of Limitations,

And the Defendant answering
 further states that the plaintiffs
 Lavinia C. & William H. Fulton on the
 29th day of October 1862 sold all
 the Real Estate described in their
 petition to the Defendant, who paid
 them therefor in full at the contract
 price for the same agreed on between
 them and defendant, and that they on
 that day executed and delivered to

5
Defendant a Deed by which they intended to convey all said Lands to Defendant and by which both they and he at the time thought and believed all said Lands were conveyed to Defendant, and Defendant says that Plaintiffs put him in Possession of all said Lands under said Deed fully believing as he did that it was all described in said Deed and received from Defendant the purchase money for all said Lands but the Defendant states that by mistake one Forty acres with the North East quarter of the South West quarter of Section Twenty one in Township Forty of Range ^{in Jefferson County Missouri} five East was left out of said Deed and not described therein that is to say said Deed purports on its face to convey to Defendant the correct number of acres but fails to describe by numbers or metes and bounds the Forty acres aforesaid,

The Defendant avers that the Plaintiffs by said Deed intended to convey said Forty acre tract as well as the other Lands in said Deed described to Defendant and Defendant ^{and Plaintiffs all} believe said Deed contained

Said Forty acre Tract last above described as all said parties fully intended that it should, but Defendant avers that the draftsman of said Deed in drawing it by an unintentional oversight omitted to describe said Forty acre Tract by its number or other description so as to convey the Legal Title of the same and that the omission was not ~~discovered~~ discovered by either the plaintiffs or Defendant and was never discovered by Defendant until after the bringing of this suit.

Defendant avers that after he purchased said Land from plaintiffs prior on the first of November 1862 he was put into possession of all said Tract of Land as described in plaintiffs Petition as the owner thereof under ~~a contract of sale~~ said Deed including said last described Forty acre Tract all the parties to said Deed believing in good faith that it was described therein as it was intended to be and has ever since been in the actual, continuous, uninterrupted, adverse possession and occupancy of the same, Holding it with the balance of said Tract by claim of title adverse

7
to all the world, and has made lasting
and valuable improvements on all
said Tract of Land including said Forty
acre Tract last described on which
said Forty acre Tract in particular he
has built a valuable Barn, cleared
Land to the extent of several acres, built
fences, and cultivated and otherwise
improved the same, to the extent and
value of more than one thousand
Dollars, Defendant avers that ^{plaintiffs} ~~defendants~~
are now residents of this State & insolvent
~~and that if he should be deprived of~~
~~said Forty acre Tract by reason of it~~
~~not being described in his Deed it would~~
~~operate as a fraud upon him and that~~
~~it would operate as a fraud on defendant~~
for his Contract with Plaintiffs not to
be specifically enforced and carried
out and his Deed before mentioned from
them to him corrected and reformed
so as to divest them of all claim to
the legal title of said Forty acre
Tract and to vest it in Defendant.

Wherefore in consideration
of the premises Defendant prays the
Court to decree that his Deed from
Plaintiffs to him of date October 29th
1862 be reformed and corrected so
that the Court will decree that

8
The Plaintiffs be forever divested of
all right, title, interest, claim, or
estate of in and to said last named
Forty acre tract of Land viz the
North East Quarter of the South
West Quarter of Section Twenty
one Township Forty Range
five East containing Forty acres,
^{in Jefferson County Missouri}
and that the same being be vested
in the Defendant in fee simple
and that Defendant be discharged
from all cost of this action

and that the Court will
grant such other & further
relief as may be just in Law
and Equity,

Joseph Harney
By M^cMullin & Williams
His Attorneys,

3523

Wm A Fulton &
Lavinia C Fulton
Plaintiffs

vs
Joseph Harvey
Defendant

Answer

Memuelin & Williams
attys for defendant

Filed April 9 1874
W. S. Boyce clk
By C. H. Williams

County of Jefferson,

The State of Missouri.

To Jerome B. Dyer, Jeremiah H. Havanagh
— Havanagh

You are Hereby Commanded, That setting aside all manner of excuse and delay, you appear before our Circuit Court for the County aforesaid, on the *11th day of May* *1874* at Hillsboro, then and there to testify and the truth to say in a certain matter of controversy, now pending in our said Court, wherein

Plaintiff and Joseph Harvey is
 Wm H Fulleton et al

Defendant, on the part of Defendant and herein you are in no wise to fail.

WITNESS, W. S. BOYCE, Clerk of our said Court, with

the seal thereof hereto affixed, at office, this 30 day
of April A. D. 1874.

A. D. 1874.

W. D. Boyce Clerk.

By Chas. H. Davis Clerk.

I have called the author
of the County of D.H. Dine.
on D.D. Dine and I have caught
an account of the history of the
Dine to them on the 13 day of May 1834

Y.D. Dine D.H.

Fulton
vs.
Harvey

L
J.B. Dine +
Jeremiah Kavanagh
— Kavanagh +

Soft for 150

Lavinia C. Fulton and
W. H. Fulton Plaintiffs }
vs
Joseph Hawley

We find ourselves to pay
all costs which have accrued or
may accrue in the above entitled
Cause. May 11th 1874.

John L. Howard & Co.

Fulton & Fulton

~

J. Kearney

Securing for loss.

Filed May 11 1874

W. S. Boyce CLK

Lavinia C. Fulton &
W. H. Fulton Her
Husband } In the Circuit
Court of
Jefferson
County
Missouri
vs
Joseph Harney }

Defendant moves the Court
to make a rule on Plaintiffs
to file security for costs of
this case for the following

1st Because Plaintiffs are non
residents of Missouri

2nd Because they are insolvent
and unable to pay any
costs

McMullin &
Williams
for Defendant

3373

Arthur D. Tappan
vs
Harvey

Motion for
Security for
Costs

Filed May 11 1884
W. S. Boyce Cl
By Geo. H. Moore Depy

W. H. Fulton and
Lavinia C. Fulton
Plaintiffs

Joseph Harvey Defendant.

In the Jefferson Circuit Court
May Term 1874.

Now come said Plaintiffs and
for replication to the new matter set
up in ~~said~~ the answer filed by Defendant
herein state that it is not true as is in
said answer alleged ^{that} the title to the
premises mentioned and described
in the original petition was vested
by the deed referred to in said answer
in Lavinia C. Fulton wife of W. H.
Fulton with full power in her to dis-
pose of the same either with or
without the assent of her said
husband but the title to said prem-
ises was vested in her as stated in
said petition.

Further replying Plaintiffs deny that
on the 29th day of ~~November~~ October
1862 or at any other time the said
W. H. & Lavinia C. Fulton executed
^{or delivered} any deed by which they intended

to ^{to Defendant} convey all the land sued for or any
portion thereof or that any deed was made
by them at any time or delivered to Defendant
by which they conveyed all said land
except for 5 acres as is in said answer
alleged. They deny that Defendant
ever bought said land or any
portion thereof of Plaintiffs or ever
paid them ~~anything~~ ^{anything} therefor as is in
said answer alleged. They deny
that Defendant was put into posses-
sion of said land by Plaintiffs in
Nov. 1862 or at any other time
or that he has remained in the peace-
able or quiet possession thereof
ever since but Plaintiffs allege
that the said Lavinia C. Fulton is
not barred by the statute of limitations
from bringing and maintaining
this action as is alleged by Defendant
for they say ~~that~~ ^{therefore} since 1862 and
long prior the said Lavinia C.
has been, was and is a married
woman.

The Plaintiffs aver that as they never
at any time sold said premises
to Defendant or received any
thing from them therefor or

and never admitted and delivered
to Defendant any and therefore or
any portion thereof there could
not have been any mistake in
the description of the land as is aver-
red in said answer and Defendant
is not entitled to any relief or pay-
ment in his said answer.

John H. Shuman & Bro.
Attys for Plaintiff.

W. H. Fulton Burke

o

Joseph Kearney

Replicators

Filed May 12 1874

W. S. Boyce
clerk

1

W. H. Fulton & Levina C. Fulton His wife, vs Joseph Harvey	}	In the Circuit Court of Jefferson County Missouri
--	---	--

NOW at this day comes
the Defendant and for answer
to the Plaintiffs Petition admits
that on the 8th day of February 1858
Reuel J. Powell conveyed to
Levina C. Fulton the Real Estate
described in the Petition of Plaintiffs
but the defendant denies that said
conveyance was to her separate
use & benefit and for the separate
use and benefit of her heirs as
the same is alleged and stated in
the Petition of Plaintiffs but defendant
alleges the truth to be that said
conveyance by said Powell to said
Levina C. Fulton was to her in fee
simple to be held and used and
enjoyed by her to her sole and
separate and exclusive use
free from and discharged of any
control, interest or claim of
William H. Fulton her then or any
future Husband and free from any

liability for any debt or debts of her husband that said Wm H. Fulton by the Express terms of said Deed from said J. D. Howell to said Lavinia C. Fulton was Expressly Included from all interest claim, Estate, and property in or to said Land or any of it the same being vested in her said Lavinia C. Fulton by said Deed solely and exclusively to her own ~~use~~ separate use with full power to convey the same at her own will and pleasure either with or without the assent or consent of her husband —

And Defendant denies that the Plaintiffs were on the 8th day of January 1865, lawfully entitled to the possession of the real estate described in their petition or any part or parcel thereof

And denies that they being so entitled to the possession thereof, he this defendant afterwards to wit on the 9th day of January 1865, unlawfully entered into the possession of said premises, or that he unlawfully entered into such possession at any other time

And defendant denies that he now wrongfully with holds, or at the time of filing of plaintiffs petition, or at any other time wrongfully with held from plaintiffs the possession of said premises to the damage of said Lavinia C Fulton in the sum of one thousand dollars or any other sum. And denies that the monthly rents and profits of the real estate described as before stated, are of the value of ten dollars.

And the defendant answering further states that on the 29th day of October 1862 said Lavinia C Fulton sold all the real estate described

in the petition of plaintiffs, to this defendant at ^{the amount expressed in said deed instrument one hundred and fifty dollars} and for the price and sum of one hundred dollars,

that he paid her therefor in full at the contract price agreed on by and between her and himself, and thereupon the said Lavinia C Fulton attempted to execute a deed conveying to this defendant all her real estate as described

in the petition of plaintiffs, and that she did

~~in the presence of our witnesses~~ ^{in the presence of our witnesses} on that day sign and seal an instrument

of writing purporting on its face to be a deed conveying to defendant all said real estate

with the exception of one fifty acre tract which is herein after specifically described, and that said instrument of writing, of the purport aforesaid, was on the said date, the 29th day of October 1862, acknowledged by said Lavinia

to Fulton to be her act and deed for the purposes therein mentioned, and that such acknowledgment was taken and had before an officer competent to take acknowledgments of deeds under the laws of this state, but that ~~this~~ certificate of said acknowledgment did not state that ^{having been made acquainted with the contents thereof} ~~Lavinia~~ Lavinia C. Fulton, on an examination separate and apart from her husband acknowledged that she executed the same freely and without compulsion or undue influence on the part of her husband William H. Fulton ^{and that said instrument, thus signed and acknowledged, was by her delivered to the defendant}. And that some time in the year 1863, William H. Fulton the husband of Lavinia C. Fulton, signed and sealed said instrument of writing, in the presence of a witness, which witness also signed his name thereto as a witness to the signature of said Wm H. Fulton. And defendant states that after said contract and sale and the execution, acknowledgment, and delivery of the instrument of writing as ^{to wit at the 10th day of November 1862} aforesaid, the plaintiffs put him into the possession of all the land described in their petition as the owner thereof, under the sale thereof ~~from~~ by Lavinia C. Fulton to him, and ^{and both parties believed to be a good and effective deed} by virtue of said instrument of writing, and that he has ever since the date last aforesaid continued in the lawful, peaceable, quiet undisturbed, adverse and exclusive possession of all

said land, during all which time he has been in the actual occupancy of all said lands, holding, claiming, occupying and asserting title to the same, by title, claim and color of title adverse and hostile to all persons whomsoever, wherefore defendant avers that neither the plaintiffs, or either of them, their ancestors, predecessors or grantors or other persons under whom they claim have been seized or possessed of said real estate or any part thereof within ten years next before the bringing of this suit, and that by reason of the premises the action of plaintiffs is barred by the statute of limitations.

And the defendant answering further, avers that on the 29th day of October 1862, said Lavinia Le Fulton sold all ~~the~~ real estate, described in the petition of plaintiffs, to this defendant at and ^{there to} ~~not~~ ^{expressed in said instrument} ~~for the price and sum of two hundred dollars,~~ ^{one hundred & fifty dollars in addition} ~~therein being~~ ^{three hundred & fifty dollars,} that he paid her therefor in full at the contract price, agreed on by and between her and himself, and thereupon the said Lavinia Le Fulton attempted to execute a deed conveying to this defendant all her real estate as described in the petition of plaintiffs, and that she did on that day sign and seal in the presence of subscribing witnesses, an instrument of writing purporting on its face to be a deed conveying to

defendant, all said real estate with the exception
of one forty acre tract described as follows
The north East quarter of the south west quarter
of section Twenty one in Township forty of
Range five in Jefferson County Missouri,
and that said instrument of writing, of the
purport aforesaid, was on the said date, the 29th
day of October 1862, acknowledged by said
Lavinia C Fulton to be her act and deed for
the purposes therein mentioned, and that such
acknowledgment was taken and had before an
officer competent to take acknowledgments
of deeds under the laws of this state, but that
the certificate of said acknowledgment did ^{not}
not state that ^{first having been granted and sealed with contents} Lavinia C Fulton, in an
examination separate and apart from her
husband, acknowledged ~~that~~ that she executed
the same freely and without compulsion
or undue influence on the part of her
husband William H Fulton, and that
said instrument thus signed and
acknowledged, was by her, delivered to
this defendant, and that sometime in the
year 1863, William H Fulton, the husband
of Lavinia C Fulton, signed and sealed
said instrument of writing, in the presence
of a witness, which witness also signed his
name thereto as a witness to the signature

of said William H. Fulton,
~~Defendant~~ ^{Plaintiff} also avers that the consideration
paid by him to Lavinia C. Fulton, for said
real estate was fully and altogether adequate
and that after the contract and sale, and the
execution, acknowledgment and delivery
of the instrument as aforesaid, to wit on the
1st day of November 1862, the plaintiffs put
him into the possession of all said lands
as described in their petition as the owner
thereof by virtue of said instrument of
writing, which said Lavinia C. Fulton
intended and believed to convey to him the
absolute title to all said real estate, and
that he has ever since had the possession
thereof, believing in good faith that he had
a title thereto, and that thus believing, and
relying on having a title to said real
estate, he has made lasting and valuable
improvements thereon. that he has cleared
out the land, made rails, ^{up and enclosed} built fence, plowed
and cultivated said land, and has reclaimed
from a state of barrenness and unproductiveness
and reduced to a high state of fertility as many
as one hundred acres thereof. that he has
gathered therefrom the loose stones and
carried them away, so that the cultivation
thereof would be unobstructed, that he has

planted out thereon fruit trees and grape vines of various qualities in great quantities that he has erected thereon a valuable barn and a dwelling house, and the usual out houses appurtenant to a plantation, And that on the 40 acre tract herein before particularly described, he has cleared out and enclosed twenty acres, rendered the same fertile by cultivation and planted thereon fruit trees and grape vines. By reason of all which improvements the value of all said real estate is enhanced in the sum of at least Two thousand dollars

Wherefore by reason of the premises defendant avers that it would be a gross fraud and outrage on his rights and inconsistent with equity, and repugnant to equity, for the plaintiffs to recover the possession of the real estate sued for, as

~~And Defendant prays that he issues be found for him, and that he be released and discharged from all costs of this action and that the Court will grant him such other and further relief as may be justified by the facts and consistent with equity~~

~~McMullin &
Williams
Attys for Defendant~~

Wherefore in Consideration of
the premises Defendant prays
The Court to Decree that
Plaintiffs be divested of all
right, Title, Interest, Estate
claim and property of in and
to the Real Estate described
in their petition herein
Especially that said Larina C.
Hulton be so divested of all
claim, estate, interest and property
of in and to said Land and
every part thereof and
that the same be vested in
the Defendant in fee simple
at Law and in equity and
that the Court will grant
all ^{such} other & further relief as
may be just and right & that
Defendant recover also his costs

Thos. H. McMullin
& J. J. Williams
Attorneys for Defendant

Herrn C. Fulton
vs
W. H. Fulton
Joseph Harvey
amended answer

In and May 15 1874
W. S. Boyce
O.S.

72
100
232

232
80
152

Fulton

Harvey

Judgment for possession
of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 22 & E $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ &
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 21 containing
740 R. S. E. containing 15 $\frac{1}{2}$
acres & also S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 21 T. 40
R. S. E. ^{containing 800 ac} & a portion of land off the
North side of the lot piece above
described to include a small house
built by Deft, not to exceed however
five acres & the line to include the
said house is to be so run as
to include the house & not include
more than five acres, but may
include less than five acres
And by agreement of parties the
title in law & equity of the Plaintiff
or either of them in & to the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$
& NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ & the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ & SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec.
21 T. 40 R. S. E. ^{containing}
179³² acres & also the five acres
above described is to be vested
in Deft absolutely & no claim for
the improvement is to be set up
by Defendant & no claim for back
rent by Plaintiff & Deft pay costs

Friedrich
vs
Stanway

Filed May 16 1874
W. S. Boyce
clerk

Mr. Kullar }
 Joseph Hawley } Bill of Costs,
 Bryce Clerk.

Filed ⁴⁰ / mif ¹⁰⁰ / Rep. pet. ⁵⁰ / Cos ⁵⁰	/ 2.40
Dock ³⁰ / and ²⁰ / Conh ²⁰ / motion ²⁰ / rule ²⁰	1.15
bond ⁵⁰ / Rep ²⁰ / amen ²⁰ / and ²⁰ / Sub ³⁵ / ver ²⁰	1.50
Judge ⁵⁰ / ifues ⁵⁰ / J.B. ¹⁰ / Costs ⁵⁰ / Gas ⁵⁰	2.10
	<u>7.15</u>

J.B. mof 2 spaz @ 50c ¹⁵⁰ / mif ¹⁰⁰	/ 2.50
Calls ³⁰	<u>30</u> / 2.80

J.B. Dover 2 days 10 miles.	/ 2.80
	<u>2.80</u>
	<u>12.75</u>

Township

Range

6	5	4	3	2	1
7	8	9	10	11	12
18	17	16	15	14	13
19	20	21	22	23	24
30	29	28	27	26	25
31	32	33	34	35	36

220-18.18.18

[illegible]

2. $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x^2+y^2} dx dy$

~ S.H. No. of Corvan & Porter

228 8th St extended by Board

177. 217, 219, 286

Leave and 20 cent, motion 20, rule 30 bond 50
Rep 30 / amended and 20. Sub 30 / vend 20 / judgt 50
issues 50 - 31310

J B D over 2 - 10 miles