

Defferson County Circuit Court
Case File - Civil
May 1872

Box 29 Folder 53

Valle, Nerie

vs

Maness, Elijah

Ejectment and debt

40 acres in S19 T40 R3 E, \$500
damages; debt \$406.31 due for
mineral rents assigned to
plaintiff by George Burnett
and R. G. Hor

Xerú Valle 'pluff.
 vs
 Elijah Mauch's Adm'r { In the Circuit
 Court of Jefferson
 County, Missouri
 { Do May Term 1872

Now at this day comes the above named plaintiff by his attorney and for cause of action against said Defendant alleges that he is the owner in fee simple of the following described Real Estate situated in Jefferson County Missouri to wit: The South Half of Lot Number One of the North West Quarter of Section nineteen in Township Forty North, Range Three East containing forty acres more or less.

That said plaintiff was on the twenty-first day of December AD 1871 (and prior thereto) and ever since, the owner of said Real Estate, and that on the said twenty-first day of December AD 1871 he was entitled to the possession of the above described Real Estate, and the said plaintiff being so entitled to the possession thereof, that the Defendant afterwards to wit on the 23 day of December 1871 entered into said premises and unlawfully withheld from the plaintiff the possession thereof to the damage of plaintiff in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars.

Plaintiff avers that the monthly rents and profits of said premises are of the value of One Hundred Dollars ~~per month~~ -

Wherefore plaintiff prays judgment for the recovery of the premises, and Five Hundred Dollars damages for the unlawful withholding

No.

Norie Valle'

vs

Elijah Maup

the same from plaintiff, and one hundred
dollars per month for the rents and profits
from the rendition of verdict till the delivery of
the possession thereof to plaintiff and for his
costs.

Reynolds & Reefe
Peiffs attys

Filed April 23rd 1872,
Wm Boyce Clk.
U. S. District Court
Dr. John H. Jones Dep. Clk.

Nine Valles. Plff } In the Circuit Court of
vs } Jefferson County Missouri
Ojal Manes def, } Term 1872.

Now at this day comes the above named plaintiff by his attorneys and for cause of action against said Defendant alleges that he is the owner in fee simple of the following described Real Estate situated in Jefferson County Missouri to wit: The South half of Lot Number one of the North West Quarter of Section Nineteen in Township Forty North Range Three East containing forty acres more or less.

That said plaintiff was on the twenty first day of December A.D. 1871. (and prior thereto) and ever since, such owner of said Real Estate, and that on the said twenty first day of December A.D. 1871 he was entitled to the possession of the above described Real Estate, and the said plff being so entitled to the possession thereof that the Defendant afterwards to wit on the 23rd day of December 1871 entered into said premises and unlawfully withholds from the plaintiff the possession thereof to the damage of Plaintiff in the sum of Five hundred Dollars Plaintiff avers that the monthly rents and profits of said premises are of the value of one hundred dollars per month

Wherefore Plaintiff prays judgment for the recovery of the premises, and Five Hundred Dollars damages for the unlawful withholding the same from Plaintiff, and one hundred dollars per month for the rents and profits from the rendition of verdict till the delivery of the possession

thereof to plaintiff and for his costs,

Reynolds & Relfe Pliffs atc.

a true copy of the original petition

Witness W. S. Boyce clerk aforesaid came
with the seal thereof hereunto affixed this
April 23rd 1872

W. S. Boyce clerk
By O. H. Home Dept.

Heri Valle' plaintiff } In the Circuit Court
 vs } of ~~Jefferson~~ ^{West Virginia} County
 Elijah Maup deft } Upomi To May
 Term 1872

The said plaintiff by his attorneys comes
 and for cause of action against the said de-
 fendant states that heretofore to wit on the first
 day of January A.D. 1868, and ever since that
 time continuously to the twentieth day of Decem-
 ber 1871 one George Burnett was and has been
 the owner in fee simple and seized of the follow-
 ing described lands to wit The South Half of Lot
 # one of the North West Quarter of Section 19 in
 Township 40 Range 3 East situated in Jefferson
 County West Virginia and as such entitled to the rents
 and profits thereof.

That at divers and sundry times between the
 said 1st day of January 1868 and the said 20th day
 of December 1871 large amounts of mineral or
 lead ore amounting in the aggregate to 7369 7 pounds
 were dug and taken out of and from the above de-
 scribed lands by divers and sundry persons under
 the ~~said was delivered~~ and direction and control of
 the said defendant who wrongfully and unlawfully
 claimed to exercise control of said lands, and wrong-
 fully and unlawfully claimed to control the sale and
 disposition of the mineral or lead ore so dug as afore-
 said from said lands, and to receive and collect the
 rents and profits from the sale thereof.

That during said period of time the said de-
 fendant caused the said lead ore to be sold and
 delivered to one Michael Flynn and wrongfully

and unlawfully claiming the said rents upon the said Mineral or lead ore so delivered as aforesaid, did demand collect and receive from the said Michael Flynn the rents from the said amount of Mineral or lead ore at the rate of ten per centum of the value or amount paid by the said Flynn for the said lead ore and one dollar per thousand pounds of said lead ore in addition, which said rents were due and belonging to ~~plaintiff~~ the said George Burnett.

Plaintiff further says that the amount of the rents at the rate as aforesaid with the sum of one dollar per thousand pounds of lead ore in addition as aforesaid so collected and received upon the 73697 pounds of lead ore dug as aforesaid, upon the land aforesaid, by the said defendant from the said Flynn amount in the aggregate to the sum of Three Hundred and Fifty One $\frac{23}{100}$ Dollars all of which said defendant had and received to the use of, ~~the~~ said George Burnett, and which was justly due and belonging to him.

Plaintiff says that afterwards ~~the~~ said collection by defendant of said rents and before the commencement of this action the said George Burnett duly assigned in writing to this plaintiff for value, his claim, demand cause of action for, and his right to said rents, against said defendant, and that ~~after~~ the said George Burnett before said assignment to plaintiff did demand the said rents so collected as aforesaid from the defendant, and that since said assignment plaintiff has given the defendant due notice thereof and demanded of him the amount of

said rents so collected as aforesaid yet the deft.
has not paid the same nor any part thereof,
wherefore plaintiff prays judgment for the
said sum of $351^{\frac{23}{100}}$ Dollars against said
defendant.

Plaintiff for a further Cause of action states that
on the day of December 1871 by purchase he
became the owner in fee simple, and seized of the
above described lands and has ever since owned
them as such. That from and after said date
there ^{by} were divers and sundry persons with plaintiff's
permission and consent, a large amount of lead
ore dug and taken out of said land to wit 26840
pounds thereof the rent of which was due and be-
longing to plaintiff, but that the said defendant
still wrongfully and unlawfully claiming to control
the sale and disposition of said lead ore caused
the same ^{during the month of January 1872} to be delivered and sold to one R. G.
Horr and demanded collection and received
from the said Horr the rents upon the same being
ten per centum in value ~~thereof~~ ^{to the use of plaintiff, the same being justly due him} thereof
That the said amount so collected by defendant as
the rent of the mineral from the said Horr as afore-
said amounted in the aggregate to the sum of $95^{\frac{28}{100}}$
Dollars, ^{which said sum is due plaintiff from defendant} That plaintiff has demanded payment
thereof from said defendant since the same has become
due and payable, but that defendant refuses to pay
the same. Wherefore plaintiff prays judgment
also against said defendant for the said sum
of $95^{\frac{28}{100}}$ Dollars & Cost of Suit.

Respectfully
Pltff attys

Heri Valle

hs

Olijah Maub

Petition

Filed April 28th 1872,
Wm Boyce cl,
By Chas H. Hine Depy.

Aerie Valle pliff } In the Circuit Court of Jefferson
as } County Missouri.
Elijah Means, Deft } To May Term 1872.

The said plaintiff by his attorneys comes and for cause of action against the said defendant states that heretofore to-wit: on the first day of January A.D. 1868 and ever since that time continuously to the twentieth day of December 1871 one George Burnett was and has been the owner in fee simple and seized of the following described lands to-wit: The South Half of Lot one of the North West Quarter of Section 19 in Township 40 Range 8 East situated in Jefferson County Missouri and as such entitled to the rents and profits thereof. That at divers and sundry times between the said 1st day of January 1868 and the said 20th day of December 1871 large amounts of mineral or lead ore amounting in the aggregate to 78697 pounds were dug and taken out of and from the above described lands by divers and sundry persons under the direction and control of the said Defendant who wrongfully and unlawfully claimed to exercise control of said lands, and wrongfully and unlawfully claimed to control the sale and disposition of the mineral or lead ore so dug as aforesaid from said lands, and to receive and collect the rents and profits from the sale thereof. That during said period of time the said defendant caused the said lead ore to be sold and delivered to one Michael Flynn and wrongfully and unlawfully claiming the said rents upon the said mineral or lead ore so delivered as aforesaid, did demand collect and receive from the said Michael Flynn the rents from the said amount of mineral or lead ore at the

rate of ten per centum of the value or amount paid by said Flynn for the said lead ore, and one dollar per thousand pounds of said lead ore in addition, which said rents were due and belonging to the said George Burnett. Plaintiff further says that the amount of the rents at the rate as aforesaid with the sum of One Dollar per thousand pounds of lead ore in addition as aforesaid so collected and received upon the 73697 pounds of lead ore dug as aforesaid, upon the land aforesaid, by the said defendant from the said Flynn amount in the aggregate to the sum of Three hundred and Fifty one $\frac{13}{100}$ Dollars all of which said Defendant had and received to the use the said George Burnett and which was justly due and belonging to him.

Plaintiff says that afterwards the said collection by defendant of said rents and before the commencement of this action the said George Burnett duly assigned in writing to this plaintiff for value, his claim, demand, cause of action for, and his right to said rents against said Defendant and that although the said George Burnett before said assignment to plaintiff did demand the said rents so collected as aforesaid from the defendant, and that since said assignment plaintiff has given the Defendant due notice thereof and demanded of him the amount of said rents so collected as aforesaid yet the debt has not paid the same nor any part thereof, wherefore plaintiff prays judgment for the said sum of 351 $\frac{13}{100}$ Dollars against said Defendant.

Plaintiff for a further cause of action states that on the day of December 1871 by purchase he became the owner

in fee simple, and seized of the above described lands and has ever since owned them as such. That from and after said date there was by divers and sundry persons with plaintiffs permission and consent, a large amount of lead ore dug and taken out of said lands to wit 26840 pounds thereof the rent of which was due and belonging to plaintiff, but that the said Defendant still wrongfully and unlawfully claiming to control the sale and disposition of said lead ore caused the same during the month of January 1872, to be delivered and sold to one Alf. Horn and demanded collected and received from the said Horn the rents upon the same being ten per centum in value thereof to the use of plaintiff, the same being justly due him. That the said amount so collected by defendant as the rents of the mineral from the said Horn as aforesaid amounted in the aggregate to the sum of 95²⁸/₁₀₀ Dollars which said sum is due plaintiff, from Defendant. That plaintiff has demanded payment thereof from said Defendant since the same has become due and payable, but that Defendant refuses to pay the same Wherefore plaintiff prays judgment also against said Defendant for the said sum of 95²⁸/₁₀₀ Dollars & costs of suit

Reynolds & Pelff Plffs Atty,

A true Copy of the original petition

Witness, W. S. Joyce clerk of said court with the seal hereof hereunto affixed this April 25th 1872,

W. S. Joyce clerk

J. J. O'Neil (for me) Dep.

The State of Missouri, }
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON. } ss.

The State of Missouri,

TO THE SHERIFF OF THE COUNTY OF JEFFERSON---GREETING:

We command you, that you summon

Elijah Maness

if he be found in your County, that he be and appear before the Honorable Judge of our Circuit Court, on the first day of the next term thereof, to be begun and held at the town of Hillsboro, within and for the County of Jefferson, on the *second* ~~Monday~~ *Monday* after the ~~fourth~~ *May* Monday of *May* next, then and there to answer unto

Nerie Valler

upon *his* original petition, a copy whereof is hereunto annexed and accompanies this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, W. S. BOYCE, Clerk of our said

Circuit Court, with the seal thereof hereunto affixed, at office in Hillsboro, this *23rd* day of *April* A. D. 18*92*,

W. S. Boyce

Clerk Circuit Court.

By

Chas. H. Irvine

Deputy.

RETURNABLE
TO
JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

May TERM, 1872.

Marie Valle

vs

Elijah Maness

(Original)

John Williams
Sheriff Dec

\$1.00

I served the within writ in Jefferson County Mo. on the 22nd day of April 1872 on Elijah Maness by delivering to Elijah Maness a true copy of this writ and petition as furnished me by the clerk.

John Williams Sheriff
By W W Horne Deputy

The State of Missouri, } ss.
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

The State of Missouri,

TO THE SHERIFF OF THE COUNTY OF JEFFERSON---GREETING:

We command you, that you summon

Elijah Maness

if he be found in your County, that he be and appear before the Honorable Judge of our Circuit Court, on the first day of the next term thereof, to be begun and held at the town of Hillsboro, within and for the County of Jefferson, on the *second* ~~Monday after the fourth~~ Monday of *May* next, then and there to answer unto

Nerie Valle

upon *his* original petition, a copy whereof is hereunto annexed and accompanies this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, W. S. BOYCE, Clerk of our said
Circuit Court, with the seal thereof hereunto af-
fixed, at office in Hillsboro, this *23rd*
day of *April* A. D. 18*87*

W S Boyce Clerk Circuit Court.

By

Chas. Horine

Deputy.

RETURNABLE
TO
JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

May TERM, 1872.

Marie Valler,

vs
Elijah Manness

Defendant

Original

John Williams
Sheriff fee

\$1.00

I served the within writ in Jefferson county and on the 27th day of April 1872 and Elijah Manness by delivering to the within named Elijah Manness a true copy of this writ and petition as furnished by the clerk.

John Williams Sheriff
By W. W. Horine Deputy

Here Valle Plaintiff } In the Circuit Court
against } of Jefferson County
Elijah Maness Defendant } Mo. Sept. Term 1872

Now at this day comes the Defendant by Atty and for answer to plaintiffs petition denies that on the first day of January 1868, and ever since that time continuously to the twentieth day of December 1871 George Burnett was the owner in fee simple and seized of the following described lands to wit: The South half of ~~lot~~ One of the North West quarter of Section 19 in Township 40 Range 3 East, situate in Jefferson County, Missouri, and as such entitled to the rents and profits thereof.

Defendant denies that at sundry times between the 1st Jan'y 1868 and the said 20th December 1871 large amounts of Mineral or lead ore amounting in the aggregate to 73,697 pounds were dug ~~out~~ and taken ^{out of} from the above described lands, by divers and sundry persons under the direction and control of this ~~said~~ Defendant, denies that he wrongfully and unlawfully exercised the control of said land, denies that he wrongfully and

unlawfully claimed to control the sale of the Lead ore so dug as aforesaid from said lands, and to receive and collect the rents and profits from the sale thereof. Defendant denies that 73.697 pounds of Lead ore was dug and taken out of said lands as state in plaintiffs petition or any other amount, by this defendant or any one under his control,

Denies that he caused said Lead ore to be delivered to one Michael ~~Lynch~~ ^{Flynn}, denies that he claimed or demanded from said Michael Flynn the rents from the said amount of Mineral or lead ore at the rate of ten per centum of the value or amount of said lead ore and one dollar per one thousand pounds of said lead ore in addition ~~which said rents~~, denies that George Burnett was entitled to receive the rents and profits during the time specified in plaintiffs petition

Defendant denies that the amount of rents together with the sum of one dollar per thousand pounds of lead ore as aforesaid amounts to the three hundred & fifty one $\frac{93}{100}$ dollars, or any other sum, denies that he had and received said sum ~~to~~ for the use of the said George Burnett.

Defendant says he has no information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief that George Burnett, before the commencement of this action duly assigned in writing to the plaintiff for value his claim, demand cause of action for and his right to said rents, against the defendant, denies that George Burnett ever demanded of him the rents and profits of said land as aforesaid.

Denies that plaintiff ~~ever~~ ^{alleged} since said assignment or at any time ever gave defendant notice of any assignment, denies that ~~defendant~~ plaintiff ever demanded said rents as assignee of George Burnett.

Defendant for further answer to

plaintiffs petition says that he has no knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief that on the day of December 1871 by purchase the plaintiff became the owner in fee simple, of said lands. Denies that plaintiff was ever seized in fee simple of said lands. Defendant denies that from and after said date there was by divers and sundry persons with plaintiffs permission and control a large amount of lead ore dug and taken out of said land to wit 26 840 pounds or any other amount, Denies that the rent of which was due, ^{& belonging} to plaintiff, Denies that he wrongfully and unlawfully claiming to control the sale and disposition of said lead ore caused the same during the month of January 1872 to be delivered and sold to one R. G. Horn and demanded collected and received from the said Horn the rents upon the same being ten per centum in value thereof to the use of plaintiff, the sum justly due him Denies that the amount collected from said Horn from rents of lead ore

amounted in the aggregate to the sum
of $95 + \frac{28}{100}$ dollars. Denies that that
or any other sum is due plaintiff
from defendant, Denies that
plaintiff has demanded payment
thereof from him the said defendant
~~since the same~~ at the time specified
in plaintiff's petition or at any
other time.

Defendant for further answer
to plaintiff's petition says that
he was on the 1st day of January
A.D. 1868 and ever since that
time ~~to~~ is and has been the owner
in fee simple and seized of
the Real Estate described in
plaintiff's petition.

Defendant having fully
answered as to be discharged
with costs

John L. Thomas & Son
Attys for Deft.

Nere Valle
against
Elijah Mannes

Answer

Filed Aug 7th 1872
W. S. Lyce
clerk

Mere Valle Plaintiff } In The Jefferson
against } County Circuit
Elijah Manup Deft. } Court Sept. Term 1872

Now at this day comes the above named Defendant by his attorneys and for answer to Plaintiff's petition, denies that Plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of the following described Real Estate situated in Jefferson County Missouri to wit: The South Half of Lot Number one of the North West Quarter of Section nineteen in Township Forty North, Range Three East containing forty acres. Denies that Plaintiff was on the 21st December 1871 or at any other time owner of said land, Real Estate, Defendant ~~admits~~ denies that Plaintiff was entitled to the possession of said Real Estate on the 21st day of December 1871 or at any other time - Defendant admits that he was & is in possession of said Real Estate, but denies that he wrongfully withholds from the Plaintiff the possession thereof to the

damage of plaintiff in the sum of
Five Hundred Dollars or any
other sum —

Defendants denies that the monthly
rents and profits of said premises
are of the value of one hundred
dollars or any other sum.

Defendant denies that plaintiff
is entitled to judgment for the
recovery of the premises and
Five Hundred dollars damages
for the unlawful withholding the
same, and one hundred dollars
per month for the rents and profits.
~~Defendant having fully an-~~
~~sured asks to be discharged~~
~~with costs.~~

~~John L. Thomas & Mrs.~~
~~Atty for Defendant~~

Defendant for further answer states
that the title to the above described
Real Estate is vested in fee simple
in himself — Defendant having
fully answered asks to be discharged
with costs

John L. Thomas & Mrs.
Atty for Defendant

Nerie Valle

25

Elijah Mans

Answer:

1st - Drual of Plaffs title
2 Sets up. title in himself

Filed Aug 7th 1872
W S Dwyer
Clerk

Xeni Valle plff } In the Circuit
Elijah Manep left } Court of Jefferson
County - Missouri
September Term
1872 -

Now comes the said Plaintiff Xenie
Valle and for application to the new
matter alleged in said Defendant's
Answer says that he denies that
the title ^{in the subject, or of superior} to the Real Estate mentioned in
Plff's petition ~~and~~ ⁱⁿ Defendant's Answer
or any part thereof is vested in
Defendant, and denies that it ever
was so vested -

Raymond Ruff
for Plff

Meriv Hall

no

Elizah Baump

— 12 —

Replication

Exhibition

Filed Sept. 17th 1872
W. J. Boyce
clerk

Nemi Valle plff } In Circuit Court
vs } Jefferson County
Elijah Maup Def } Missouri
September Term 1872

Now at this day comes the said plaintiff and
for replication to Defendants answer herein
filed states that he denies that defendant, on
the 1st day of January 1868, or since that time
or at any time whatever was the owner in fee-
simple or otherwise, or seized of the Real Estate
described in plffs petition -

Reynolds & Rees
attys for Plff

Whereas by mutual agreement a judgment
for the possession of the South Half of Lot
N^o One of the NWth of Sectⁿ 19. Township 40
Range 3 East is this day rendered in favor
of Neri Valle and against Elijah Maup
in the Circuit Court of Jefferson
County Missouri, and a writ of Ejectment
therein pending. and the said Neri Valle
has this day dismissed an action for
the recovery of rents on the same land
pending against the said Maup. Now in Con-
sideration of the premises it is agreed that the
said Maup shall have and take all the
Mineral and Mineral rents due thereon that
was taken out ^{of said land} by him or any parties to whom
he had leased the same, up to the commencement
of said Ejectment Suit to wit the 23^d day of
April 1872 and that the said Valle hereby
relinquishes to the said Maup, said Mineral
and rents therefrom so taken out as aforesaid
prior to the said 23^d day of April 1872 -
Neri Valle

By Reynolds & Ruck

his attorneys for and

Elijah Maup

By John L. Thomas & Bro
his attorneys

Nerie Valle

vs

E. Mauch

Agreement

Filed Sept 18th 1872

W. P. Dwyer cler

Nere Valle, plff.

vs
Elijah Maness, deft.

Whereas there are now pending in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Mo. two suits of the above title - one ⁱⁿ for ejectment for the South Half of Lot No. 1 of the NW 1/4 Sect. 19 Twp. 40 North, Range 3 East of 5th P^l M^o, and the other for damages for receiving and converting the mineral & mineral rents off of the same land - and Whereas, there is now pending in the Circuit Court of Washington County, Mo. a suit by said Elijah Maness and against Eugene Godat and James Mundy for rents & mineral claimed by said Maness to have been taken off of the same lands by said Godat & Mundy - and whereas it is determined by the parties hereto that they will compromise all their disputes in reference to said lands - It is therefore agreed between the parties that ⁱⁿ the ejectment suit, Valle shall have a decree for the possession of the said premises, with one cent damages; & shall pay the costs of said suit; - said Valle also is to dismiss the suit for damages, at his cost, and to release ^{Maness} Valle from all claims for damages. Said Maness is to dismiss the suit against

Godat & Maness at his cost, and does
hereby, in consideration of the sum
hereafter mentioned, and other valuable
considerations to be hereafter claimed for
the rents said for in said suit to said
Valle.
It is further agreed that said Maness
is to execute & deliver to said Valle a
quit claim due for said premises -
& to have his wife join in the same.
& said Valle to pay said Maness
50 dollars cash -

Witness our hands & seals
this 17th of May, 1843 -

Verec Valle (Seal)

Elijah Maness (Seal)

Valle
vs
Maness

Filed May 17 1843
W. S. Boyce
Clerk

STATE OF MISSOURI,

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

To

James Hopkins Jacob Douglas

You are hereby commanded, that setting aside all manner of excuse and delay, you appear before our Circuit Court, for the County aforesaid, on the *seventeenth* day of May 1873, at Hillsboro, then and there to testify and the truth to say in a certain matter of controversy, now pending in our said Court, wherein *Mrs. Valleris*

Plaintiff, and

Edgar Manabe is

Defendant, on the part of

do Plaintiff

; and herein you are in no wise to fail.

Witness, W. S. BOYCE, Clerk of our said Court, with the seal thereof
hereto affixed, at office, this *28th* day of *April* A. D., 1873.

W. S. Boyce Clerk.
By C. H. Corine Dep't

Steve Valle
vs
Elijah Manes

For Plff.

James Hopkins
Jacob Douglass

Shrift for \$1.00

ser 28

I have had the within Subscribed all the
County of Jefferson State of Mo in the within named
James Hopkins Debt & Douglass
by making the same to them April 28th 1875

D. D. Moore Sheriff

D. D. Moore Attorney

E. A. H.

Book 6.
 Leave to ans
 Cont.
 Rep.
 Compromise & Judgt.

Book 6 to
 Leave to ans
 Cont.
 Rep.
 Lie & Judgt.

p 457 May 7th.
 " 457
 " 561. Sept 7th
 " 562 " "

" 458
 " 458
 561
 563

561
 562
 563

561
 562
 563

Book 7.

June 7th
 May 7th
 May 7th

Order setting aside Judgt p 9. June 7th. Order setting aside Judgt & comp p 9.
 Order to pub sleep. 47.
 Judgt. for pass. 65
 Compromise 20.
 Leave to withdraw sep. 70.

47.
 65
 20.
 70.

465
 65

No. 3807

Jefferson Circuit Court.

May Term, 1872,

Merie Valle

vs.

Elijah Mancoske

Judgment, - - - \$

Date 18

Fee Book No. 1 Page 285

Judge's Docket, Page

Reynolds & Rolfe
Plaintiff's Atty.

ATTORNEYS: Exercise care and return promptly.

C. F. Chamberlin & Co., Printers and Stationers, St. Louis.