

Jefferson County Circuit Court
Case Files - Civil

Box

22

Folder 50

November 1868, No. 211

Power, James C

vs

Grell, C. F.

Trover

2-horse carriage; \$200 damages

James C. Power, Plaintiff
vs
C. H. Hall, Defendant } In the Jefferson
Circuit Court.
Nov. Term 1868.

Plaintiff states that on the 1st day of July 1868, the Defendant without leave and wrongfully took the following property of Plaintiff and has not returned the same viz: one two horse carriage of the value of one hundred dollars, by which Plaintiff says he is damaged to the amount of two hundred dollars for which he asks judgment.

John A. Thomas
Atty for Plaintiff.

James C. Pomeroy

2

C. F. Hall

Petition in Lumber

Filed Oct 30 1868

E. A. Hony *OK*

THE STATE OF MISSOURI, }
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON. } SS.

THE STATE OF MISSOURI,

To the Sheriff of the County of Jefferson—Greeting:

We command you, that you summon *A. Grull*

if he be found in your County, that
he be and appear before the Hon. Judge of our Circuit Court, on the
first day of the next term thereof, to be begun and held at the town of Hillsboro,
within and for the County of Jefferson, on the ~~seventh~~ Monday after the
fourth Monday of September 1868 ~~and~~ then and there to answer unto
John C. Perren

upon *his* original petition, a copy whereof is hereunto annexed and
accompanies this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, ELIAS F. HONEY, Clerk of our said Circuit Court,
with the seal thereof hereunto affixed, at office in Hillsboro, this
thirteenth day of *October* A.D. 1868

Elias F. Honey
Clerk Circuit Court.
By Chas. Levine Dep't

No 241

2691

JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT.

Nov Term, 1868,

Sho O Dovers

vs

C. H. Groll

(original)

John Williams
Sherriff fee \$1.00

Oct 30

I executed this writ in Jefferson County Mo. on the 30th day of October 1868, on C. H. Groll by delivering to him a true copy of this writ and petition

John Williams Sherriff

James C. Power, petff, vs. C. F. Grace, defdt

Now on this day comes the above named defendant and by leave of court files the following answer to the petition of plaintiff in the above case.

Defendant denies, that on the 1st day of July 1868, or at any other time he took without leave and wrongfully the property of plaintiff, which is described in his petition, to wit: one two horse carriage of the value of one hundred dollars ^{or of any value}, and also denies that plaintiff on account of such taking is damaged in the amount of two hundred ^{or in any sum whatever} dollars. Defendant therefore asks judgment for costs and to be discharged.

Adner Green & H. F. Pohlman
Atty for Dept.

In the Jefferson
County Circuit Court

James A. Parmer
pett.

vs.
C. F. Grace,

Answer of
Defendant,

Filed April 8th 1869
Elias F. Kearney, clerk

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

The State of Missouri,

To *William Lewis James Whitcraft*
& *Charles Rogers*.

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED, That setting aside all manner of excuse and delay, you appear

before our Circuit Court for the County aforesaid, on the

1869 at Hillsboro, then and there to testify, and the truth to say in a certain

matter of controversy, now pending in our said Court, wherein

Plaintiff, and

is *W. J. Huel* *is*

Defendant, on the part of

Plaintiff

and herein you are in no wise to

fail.

Witness, ELIAS F. HONEY, Clerk of our said

Court, with the seal thereof hereto affixed, at office

this

29 day of *June* A. D. 1869

Elias F. Honey

Clerk.

By J. H. Capps A. G.

2691

SUBPOENA.

To Jefferson Circuit Court.

May Term, 1869

Power
Grace
for Plff

R M Mullin
Louis James
John Mack
Charles Yerger

The within was served, at Jefferson County Mo., & residing the same to the within
named Louis James, and within his presence and hearing on the 4th day
of May a d 1869 and in the same manner it was also served to
R M Mullin on the 4th day of May and in the same way as above
it was also served on Charles Yerger and John Mack on the 5th day
of May a d 1869
Fees \$ 200
Geo. Smith Esq. Sheriff

James C. Power, Plff

C. F. Hall, Sept

In the Jefferson Circuit Court.

May Term 1869.

Now at this day comes the Plaintiff

Moreover the Court to set aside the finding

and judgment of the Court in this cause

and grant him a new trial for the following

seasoning.

1. Because the finding is against the evidence

2. Because the finding is against the law of the case.

3. Because the funding is against both law & evidence.

4. Because the Countered in deducing the law to be as proposed for the depth.

5: The Court erred in ~~not~~ refusing the
declaration of law asked by Plaintiff.

Thomas

for Plain Typ.

2691

J. C. Power

C. F. Hall

Motion for new
Trial.

Filed May - 21 1869
Elias D. Honeywell
By J. H. Hays & Co.