WELDING TECHNOLOGY

Five Year **INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW**

By Al Zierenberg

May 15, 2009

Dr. Mary Beth Ottinger Acting Dean Career and Technical Education

INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS REVIEW

~ <u>Welding</u> / 2008-2009 ~

The purpose of the review is to:

Assess the currency, scope, strengths, opportunities for improvement, and needs of the Welding Technology program.

The process will involve the following steps:

- 1. Collect Data
- 2. Review Data and Assemble
- 3. Write Narrative
- 4. Submit for Review
- 5. Finalize

Time frames/timeline for the review will be:

Ronald Krive, retired Jefferson College faculty, will assist Al Zierenberg, Welding Technology faculty, in the Welding Technology 5-Year Institutional Effectiveness Review process beginning March 6, 2009. The review process should be finalized on or before April 1, 2009.

Program/Service: Welding Technology Date of Review: March, 2009 Review Participants: Al Zierenberg and Ronald Krive

Overview

Purpose of the program and how it relates to college mission, values,

vision:

The Welding Technology program combines advanced welding skills and related technical courses designed to prepare students for employment as welders, welder-fitters, specialist welders, or ultimately, welding supervisors, analysts, inspectors, and welding technicians. The American Welding Society standards are stressed.

The Welding program serves a diverse student population including dual-enrolled high school students, traditional and non-traditional, Certificate and Associate Degree seeking, full-time and part-time, and day and evening students. The Welding Technology curriculum, equipment, and facilities are annually reviewed by an advisory committee of past and present Welding Technology students and professionals in the welding field representing local, county, and state located businesses. The Welding Technology is also subject to a 5-year Institutional Effectiveness Review.

Institutional Effectiveness Review

Present Status

The 2003-2004 Welding Technology Program Review is not in a form consistent with the Institutional Effectiveness Review format currently in use. To facilitate subsequent Institutional Effectiveness Reviews, a future Proposed Learning and Service Goals and Action Plan is provided per this format.

Learning/Service and Action from ______ Institutional Effectiveness Review: (previous review date)

Students will:

Learning Service	Assessment	Person(s) to	7imeframe	Resource	Use of results
Goal	Measurement	Implement		<i>Implications</i>	
	Action				
Goal 1					
Goal 2					
Goal 3					
Goal 4					

Innovative Changes (in last 5 years):

Three additional student shop positions were added to increase the number of student laboratory positions from sixteen to nineteen during the 2006-2007 academic year. The syllabi for the courses offered by the Welding Technology department were rewritten to conform to the Jefferson College official course syllabus format.

Faculty (Degree to which faculty/staff are qualified, effective, and supported.)

Faculty Zualifications and Professional Development:

Full Time Faculty:

Alvin Zierenberg – Al has been teaching full time at Jefferson College since August of 2006. He brings over 38 years of experience, in welding and steel fabrication, to the classroom. Prior to teaching at the College, Al was most recently employed by Marlo Coil as a supervisor managing employees of two shifts.

Adjunct Faculty:

Gabe Amsden – Gabe is a graduate of Jefferson College and has been teaching in an adjunct capacity for the College since August of 2006. He is certified in the following positions: GMAW F2 and F3 in both carbon and stainless steel, SMAW F2 for carbon and stainless steel, and is also a Level 2 Certified Weld inspector. He is currently employed full time at Czar Weld Tools in House Springs, Missouri.

Jack Simpson – Jack is a graduate of Jefferson College and has been teaching in adjunct capacity for the College since September of 2004. He received his Certificate in Welding in May, 2004 and his A.A.S. in Welding Technology in May, 2006. He holds certified welding qualifications in carbon steel, stainless steel, and aluminum, which he obtained from St. Louis Test Laboratories, Inc.

	Sc	hool Terms 20040	1 Through	200803 (Sumn	ier 2003 thi	rough Spring 2008			
Course	Number of	Number of		Attrition		Graded			Annualized
	Terms Taught	Course Sections	Total	Number	Attrition	Credit Hours	Average	Average	5-Year
Instructor	(Max = 15)	Taught	Students	("W" Grades)	Percent	(A to F grades)	Students	GPA	Program FTE
MLD									
Amsden	4	19	63		1.6%	310	3.3	2.77	
Petryshyn	9	62	194	9	3.1%	940	3.1	2.65	
Schilly	4	20	62		1.6%	305	3.1	3.43	
Simpson	8	40	127	7	5.5%	600	3.2	3.63	
Zierenberg	4	45	173	S	1.7%	850	3.8	2.59	
Column Totals:	26	186	619	18	2.9%	3,005			
Column Averages:							3.3	2.92	20.0
Source: BANNER report M	SHRGDST printed 26	3 September 2008.							

Faculty Indicators for Welding Technology Instructional Program Review, 2004-2008

report WSTTROUST I primed to september 2006. Notes: Attrition is the number of "W" grades conferred. Annualized Program FTE is the number of graded credit hours divided by 150 (30 hrs/yr for 5 years). Graded Credit Hours are "A to F" only, not "W, I, H, P/F, or Other")

Faculty Data:

<u>**Students**</u> (The degree to which student needs are met.)

Student Satisfaction and Feedback:

The registration data for welding courses from Fall 2004 through Spring 2009 show substantial growth beginning Fall 2007 and continuing through Spring 2009 (See Appendix A). If enrollment is influenced by student satisfaction, a healthy degree of student satisfaction is inferred.

A review of student satisfaction surveys conducted from Fall 2006 through Spring 2008 for courses in the Welding Technology major, both day and evening, is summarized as follows.

A total of 340 students representing 29 separate classes responding to Item 7 of the survey: **How would you evaluate your learning experiences in this class?**

Excellent	Above aver.	Average	Below aver.	Poor
62.9%	24.7%	11.5%	0.6%	0.3%

Student Success:

Employment data for 2007-2008 Certificate and Associate degree graduates are summarized as follows.

Associate of Applied Science degree, Welding Technology: Three graduating, two report related employment, one unknown. Average reported salary is \$14.50.

Certificate, Welding Technology: Three graduating, two report related employment, one unknown. No average salary reported.

Employment average is 67%.

Employment data for 2008-2009 (December 2008) Certificate and Associate degree graduates are summarized as follows.

Associate of Applied Science degree, Welding Technology, two graduating, one reports related employment, one reports continuing related education. No average salary reported.

Certificate, Welding Technology: One graduating, employment unknown.

Employment average is 50%.

<u>Curriculum</u> (The degree to which curriculum is thorough, current, and supported.)

Curriculum (Scope, Currency, Changes):

The Welding Technology program is intended to provide entry level employment skills for individuals without prior experience for the Associate of Applied Science and Certificate degree completer. The Welding Technology program is also intended to provide professionals in the field opportunities for employment upgrading or retraining.

The Welding Technology curriculum, equipment, and facilities are annually reviewed by an advisory committee of past and present Welding Technology students and professionals in the welding field representing local, county, and state located businesses. The American Welding Society standards are stressed.

Curriculum Issues (Support, Technology, Equipment)

The College provides hardware and software technical and maintenance support for classroom and faculty office computers and classroom teaching equipment. Shop equipment and other specialized equipment is purchased using Perkins and Enhancement grants as well as the opportunity to be awarded Jefferson College Foundation grants. Donations from individuals and organizations represent a significant cost reduction for consumable items used in teaching the various classes in the Welding Technology program.

<u>Community</u> (The degree to which the program contributes to the community and responds to community needs)

The Welding Technology program responds to community needs through its Advisory Committee. The program provides for high school dual-enrollment as well as traditional and non-traditional post-secondary students. It provides opportunities for developing entry level employment skills for those community members seeking gainful employment in the welding field. It also provides opportunities for the continuing education of professionals employed in the welding field.

Welding Technology Program Review FY 2005-2009

	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget
	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Salaries	68,797.33	67,536.38	43,173.11	53,520.52	56,039.00
Benefits	14,000.27	13,058.82	10,772.72	14,475.60	15,785.00
All Other Expenses: Unrestricted Fund	19,675.48	15,070.33	14,303.09	18,592.52	12,000.00
Total Unrestriced Fund	102,473.08	95,665.53	68,248.92	86,588.64	83,824.00
RTEC Carl Perkins Enhancement Grant Plant Fund Total Expeses - Welding Technology	611.06 611.06 514.23 7,509.37 2,219.00 113,326.74	12,467.08 - 17,580.22 - 125,712.83	12,272.08 - 895.54 81,416.54	2,380.61 6,012.87 12,487.36 107,469.48	15,411.00 - - 99,235.00

<u>Summary (SWOT)</u>

<u><u>G</u>((1</u>	XX7 I
Strengths	w eaknesses
1. Enrollment of both day and evening	1. Age of shop equipment.
postsecondary and high school dual-	2. Coordination of consumable item
enrollment students.	use and equipment maintenance
2. Current enrollment maximizes the	between day and evening faculty.
use of available shop and classroom	
spaces.	
3. Active and supportive Advisory	
Committee.	
4. Significant donations of equipment	
and consumable items by local	
companies.	
Opportunities	Threats
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining.	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads.
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining. 2. Increasing program	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads. 2. Current program structure/class
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining. 2. Increasing program exposure/advertisement.	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads. 2. Current program structure/class offerings typically requiring more
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining. 2. Increasing program exposure/advertisement. 3. Closer relationship between faculty	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads. 2. Current program structure/class offerings typically requiring more than two years for completing the
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining. 2. Increasing program exposure/advertisement. 3. Closer relationship between faculty, advisory committee, and placement	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads. 2. Current program structure/class offerings typically requiring more than two years for completing the degree requirements negatively
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining. 2. Increasing program exposure/advertisement. 3. Closer relationship between faculty, advisory committee, and placement convisors	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads. 2. Current program structure/class offerings typically requiring more than two years for completing the degree requirements negatively imposts student
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining. 2. Increasing program exposure/advertisement. 3. Closer relationship between faculty, advisory committee, and placement services.	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads. 2. Current program structure/class offerings typically requiring more than two years for completing the degree requirements negatively impacts student
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining. 2. Increasing program exposure/advertisement. 3. Closer relationship between faculty, advisory committee, and placement services.	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads. 2. Current program structure/class offerings typically requiring more than two years for completing the degree requirements negatively impacts student enrollment/completion.
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining. 2. Increasing program exposure/advertisement. 3. Closer relationship between faculty, advisory committee, and placement services.	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads. 2. Current program structure/class offerings typically requiring more than two years for completing the degree requirements negatively impacts student enrollment/completion.
Opportunities 1. Positioning the Certificate and Associate Degree programs for employee training/retraining. 2. Increasing program exposure/advertisement. 3. Closer relationship between faculty, advisory committee, and placement services.	Threats 1. Enrollment limited by existing shop facilities, equipment, and faculty loads. 2. Current program structure/class offerings typically requiring more than two years for completing the degree requirements negatively impacts student enrollment/completion.

<u>Future</u> (Proposed Learning and Service Goals and Action Plan)

Learning Service	Assessment	Person(s) to	T imeframe	Resource	Use of results
Goal	Measurement	Implement		<i>Implications</i>	
	Action				
Goal 1 Equipment Upgrade	Determine equipment needs and replacement schedule	Al Zierenberg	Continuous	Faculty and Advisory Committee	Improve student laboratory equipment
Goal 2 Curriculum Revision	Evaluate existing curriculum against current and future standards and practices	Al Zierenberg	Continuous	Faculty and Advisory Committee	Improve student prospects for placement and improve curriculum and instruction
Goal 3 Student Enrollment and Retention	Evaluate current method of course offerings as impediment to enrollment and retention	Al Zierenberg	2009 - 2010	Faculty	Increase student enrollment and improve retention rate
Goal 4 Student Success	Evaluate student placement	AI Zierenberg	Continuous	Faculty	Program advertisement and student enrollment

DISCIPLINE STATUS

_____Satisfactory _____Requires Immediate Attention _____Unsatisfactory

Dean

Date

																								_
z																								
			5).																				9	
Σ	р 2 2		r 04-0																				324	
Η			yea														28	58	5	33	33	82	246	
			Jic													tals	0	ŋ	0	ŋ	Ф	Ф	3	
			den											,		<u>ല</u>		6	(0			+		
х			04 (aca												Spring	2009	76	109	76	10,	57	8	203	
Η			r 20													8	4	48	92	64	56	64	28	
-			mme												Fall	200	1						4	
-			vith su												Spring	2008	64	93	64	80	48	70	419	
H			ر اور									46			11	7 - 3	88	32	80	48	56	48	352	
Т			jinni									32			Fall	2001							(1)	
			beg		s	28	58	12	33	33	82	46			БĽ	7	32	60	32	48	32	40	44	
9			ring)		Tota	9	S	9	S	4	4	33			Spri	200								
ч			and spi		2009	180	157	168	165	113	148	931			Fall	2006	72	32	64	32	40	32	272	
\vdash			II, â		い 物学	52	25	44	28	04	18	11			ð	1	32	60	32	48	32	40	44	
ш	ss.		ars (fa		2008	1	1	1	1	1	*-	2			Sprin	2006							2	
	lrse		Ye		70	104	92	96	80	72	72	516		ster.	=	05	64	32	64	32	40	32	264	
	CO		mic		20(mes	ш	20(
	ling		ade		90	96	92	96	80	72	72	80		sel	bu	55	32	60	44	48	32	40	256	
U	velc		۲Ac		20(d n	Spr	20(
	<u>o</u>		e foi		2	96	92	108	80	72	72	520		No	104.20	204	64	32	64	32	40	32	264	
8	lo T		are		200									en d	47	all 20								
-	est		res		the second se									<u>ok</u>		<u>ц</u>								
	igur		figu											er bi	30	1								
	onf		'ing		SE									Ť		SSE								
A	ratic		llow		OUF	-		3	4	5	9			s fu	-	SOUF	-	5	3	4	5	9		
	gist		∋ fo			D14	D14	D24	D24	D24	D24	als		Inre		0	D14	D14	D24	D24	D24	D24	als	
	Rec		Ť			M	ML	ML	M	M	M	ă		Fig	्युः 1411 ११	50 St.	٨	۲	ML	M	K	N N	P	
	3 2	4	S	9	6	∞	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16		17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
		_		_		_	_	_	_				_											

Appendix A